

But with constant selling, constant urging, constant meeting with them, I think the project is moving better than it was 2 or 3 years ago.

Mr. WIDNALL. Do any of you have any recommendations to make as to additional legislative tools that might be helpful to you in the administration of the city and the rehabilitation of the city, or amendments to existing legislation? Have you any recommendations along that line?

Mr. ALLEN. I have none specifically, Mr. Widnall. I don't think we really have had an opportunity to test those in the 1965 act. Certainly the code enforcement portion of the 1965 act is a big boon to helping us.

Mr. WIDNALL. I notice you emphasize in Cincinnati you are about to add on more inspectors. That is a hopeful approach to the whole idea. If all of the cities do this, we can start to make real progress.

Mr. GRADISON. On that point—I would like to mention it does take a good bit of time for both the cities and the Federal agency working together to meet the tests of new legislation which you enact. In the case of the concentrated code enforcement program, we have submitted an application. And the last I knew the forms were still not available, the formal application forms for qualifying under this act, which was passed in 1965.

Mr. WIDNALL. You say the formal application forms are not available at the present time?

Mr. ALLEN. As of last week.

Mr. WIDNALL. That is all.

Thank you very much for an excellent statement.

Mr. BARRETT. Mrs. Sullivan?

Mrs. SULLIVAN. Mr. Chairman, I would like to pursue what Mr. Widnall was asking.

On these 832 structures that you say have been rehabilitated, are these completely rehabilitated now?

Mr. ALLEN. Yes, madam, these are those that are completed.

Mrs. SULLIVAN. How much Federal money went into that rehabilitation?

Mr. ALLEN. I would have to provide that for the record. It is on the order of \$9 to \$10 million.

Mrs. SULLIVAN. I wish you would provide that—if it is all right with you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. BARRETT. It may be submitted.

Mrs. SULLIVAN. I would like to know what the total cost of the rehabilitation was and how much came from Federal funds.

(The information referred to follows:)

CITY OF CINCINNATI, DEPARTMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Total projects costs

Laurel-Richmond (Jan. 3 to Feb. 3):

Capital grant.....	\$4, 274, 877
Relocation grant.....	0
Total, Federal grant.....	4, 274, 877
City.....	2, 282, 320
City's excess credit.....	289, 763
Total, city cost.....	2, 572, 083