

Now, Mr. Mayor, what do you think of changing the name of the Federal coordinator to a local coordinator, and also in your answer, how do you feel about making this optional or mandatory? Would you give us your comments on that, please?

Mr. KINSELLA. We in the city of Hartford considered during our past budget deliberations, which took up the month of February, establishing a position within the city of a coordinator, devoting his time and energies along with our redevelopment agency, our training agency, our housing agency, to be a liaison man between Washington and our city. We felt that if we had an individual that could devote his full time and energy to becoming familiar with Federal legislation, and how it would benefit cities, that we would be able to move ahead more quickly with our improvement programs in our city.

I believe that there are many individuals who would be capable, both on the Federal and the local level, of acting as a coordinator. I believe that the needs of the localities could be best understood and therefore I believe that a local coordinator would service the people of that area better than a Federal coordinator.

Mr. BARRETT. In other words, you think it should be optional?

Mr. KINSELLA. Yes, sir.

Mr. BARRETT. Thank you. Mr. Harvey?

Mr. HARVEY. Mr. Mayor, how much would the city of Hartford need to finance all its programs if it were one of the demonstration cities—in round figures—are we talking about a million dollars, a hundred millions dollars or what?

Mr. KINSELLA. You are talking, I would say, about \$200 million. But we are more—pardon me—I am more interested in developing within the area, not the city, not the corps, but the metropolitan area, to enable us to break out of the wall which surrounds us in developing a demonstration program throughout this total metropolitan area. This, sir, I cannot answer as far as figures go.

Mr. HARVEY. What was the figure you gave me for the city of Hartford?

Mr. KINSELLA. There has been a projected figure of \$200 million, I believe, to carry out our community renewal program.

Mr. HARVEY. This is for immediate needs, is that correct?

Mr. KINSELLA. This is the community renewal program. It's about a 20-year program, sir.

Mr. HARVEY. One of the apprehensions here of other mayors has been the one I recited earlier—and you were in the room at the time—that is the fear that this is going to divert urban renewal funds. I say that because simple arithmetic will tell you that the demonstration cities will swallow up 80 to 100 percent of the funds authorized to urban renewal funds could therefore be eliminated except for the 70 or so demonstration cities that were picked.

Now, where would that leave Hartford, for example, if Hartford was not picked as a demonstration city? Where would that leave the other cities if they were not picked as demonstration cities and were not in the program? Do you share that concern, or do you have this concern?

Mr. KINSELLA. Certainly we have concern, sir. We have concern as to—as we testified—how this demonstration program is going to