

Mr. LOCHER. Yes, ma'am. We used it last year, and we are using it again this year. We just approved it last Friday.

Mrs. DWYER. Mr. Mayor, specifically, how much does your city need in Federal funds to carry out a demonstration city program?

Mr. LOCHER. Mr. Chairman, Mrs. Dwyer, I have thought of that, and I frankly cannot give you even a guess at this time, because you would have to ascertain what the area would be, and we certainly have not gotten that far. We did, at our first meeting and subsequent meetings of the representatives I described of the school board, public and private agencies, and so forth, begin to talk about what the criteria should be for the determination of such an area, and we thought perhaps that the same criteria with little change should prevail as was used with the Community Action for Youth program, the antijuvenile delinquency program. But we haven't even agreed, frankly, on the criteria for making the initial determination, let alone going further and determine the size of the area and how much it would cost. I would be hesitant to name a figure.

But, as I stated, it does seem clear if we are to have 60 or 70 such cities, that \$2.3 billion is not likely to be enough.

But I cannot—

Mrs. DWYER. How much would be enough? That is what I am trying to find out. If there are 70 cities which cannot determine how much it is going to cost, how can we in the Federal Government determine that \$2.3 billion is going to be adequate? No one seems to think it is adequate for 70 cities.

Mr. LOCHER. No. And it extends through 1971, so that I believe you would get a better notion of what is adequate between the 12 million which is provided for planning—when that planning begins to take some form or shape—then I think everyone, including the Congress, would have a better notion of what an adequate figure would be.

But I frankly, being unaware of the areas that are being considered in 60 or 70 cities, not knowing even what they will be—I don't know that Cleveland will be one of the cities. Who knows, until the criteria has been established for picking one?

It seems difficult for me to say what it would cost in the city of Cleveland. I frankly can only make a very rough guess, and it would be so rough that I don't think it would be of any help.

Mrs. DWYER. Can you make just a rough guess?

Mr. LOCHER. Let me say this, Mr. Chairman. It was thought that in the city of Detroit, for instance, that it would run something like \$2½ billion, if I am not mistaken—I read that testimony somewhere. We are approximately, or a little over half the size of Detroit. So I think I would not be too far wrong if I were to say it would be in the neighborhood of perhaps a billion dollars, depending on what it is ultimately decided the area would be and so forth.

But, again, we are just picking something out of a hat.

I think we must wait until the planning is done, until we know what we contemplate, before we know what the Congress should allocate for this purpose.

Mrs. DWYER. It would seem, from the testimony in this hearing, that \$2.3 billion is not going to be enough.

Mr. LOCHER. I would concur in that, yes.

Mrs. DWYER. Thank you very much, Mr. Mayor.