

the people who use the commuter cars in and out of the busy cities and also within the cities, face the problem of mass transportation—this would be very helpful.

Mr. HARVEY. On another subject, Mayor Tate, the Federal coordinator—do you think it would be helpful to have this Federal coordinator, say, several of them, nominated by the local community and the Secretary pick one of them that the local community nominates? What I am getting at, do you think his qualifications are—his qualifications of local areas, are important, or affairs of Federal affairs?

Mr. TATE. I do think that while it may be desirable to have the local community suggest 3 or 4 or 5 or even 10 names, and then have the Federal Government select the final one—

Mr. HARVEY. You think that would be helpful?

Mr. TATE. While it may be desirable, I do believe that finally it should be the responsibility of the people who pay the bill to select the Federal coordinator.

Mr. HARVEY. Let me ask you this. Do you think that the demonstration program for the cities should be confined to the major cities? We have had a lot of mayors come before the subcommittee here in the last several weeks. Some of them feel it is being spread too thin over too many cities. Others feel that it would not be spread enough.

Mr. TATE. I say, Congressman, with pardonable pride, I think, Philadelphia should be considered as one of the possible demonstration cities. But actually I agree with the Secretary, Dr. Weaver, who has said we cannot sell this by applying it to specific big cities or to specific smaller cities, or cities which may be medium sized—that we have got to take a cross section of America and we are willing to take our chances that Philadelphia will be selected as one of the demonstration cities because we do feel that we have a pretty good system for determining our city's future.

Mr. HARVEY. Let me ask you this: Do you think a limitation should be written into the bill which would limit the amount that would go to any one city or any one State, for example?

Mr. TATE. I think that should be the work of the committee here, sir. Frankly, we have experienced the allocations approach before, and I wouldn't want to suggest, on the basis of experience, that such limitations should be placed in the bill.

Mr. HARVEY. How much would Philadelphia need, for example, as a demonstration city?

Mr. TATE. Many millions, but I wouldn't want to name a specific amount. I said in my testimony that our needs are so great that we could almost use the \$2.3 billion. This would, of course, be impossible, but we would like to have a reasonable percentage of it as a good start, and that couldn't be done with only \$10 or \$15 million.

My staff men suggest it should be in excess of \$400 million.

Mr. HARVEY. In excess of \$400 million?

Mr. TATE. Yes, sir. So that would certainly support a \$2.3 billion allocation.

Mr. BARRETT. Mr. Mayor, I wonder if you would identify your staff representative?

Mr. TATE. This is Mr. Ivan Gluckman and Mr. John O'Shea, our development coordinator. Mr. Gluckman is Mr. O'Shea's assistant.