

mission on Federal Relations, American Council on Education, expressing concern over the inadequacy of funds available for college housing loans and expressing an interest in testifying when the banking committee or the housing subcommittee considers legislation affecting the college housing loan program.

No. 2. A letter from Robert G. Davidson, executive director of the metropolitan area planning council in Massachusetts in support of H.R. 12946.

No. 3. A letter from Mr. Howard B. Noonan, chairman of the board of the Kissell Co. in Springfield, Ohio. Mr. Noonan supports the demonstration cities bill in his capacity as chairman of the Springfield Committee for Community Action Now.

No. 4. A letter from Mr. S. Frank Raftery, general president of the Brotherhood of Painters, Decorators & Paperhangers of America, in support of H.R. 13064 and endorsing Boris Shishkin's testimony and recommendations in his statement to the committee for the AFL-CIO.

No. 5. A statement in support of the Demonstration Cities Act by the Honorable George S. Smith, M.D., mayor of the city of Easton, Pa. The mayor makes a very fine statement in support of the bill.

No. 6. An excellent statement in support of the Demonstration Cities Act by Hon. Stanford R. Brookshire, mayor of the city of Charlotte, N.C. We are most pleased to have the mayor's views and his expression of support.

No. 7. A letter from the city clerk of the city of Scranton, Pa., expressing his full support and endorsement of the city council of Scranton for the demonstration cities bill and expressing the concurrence of the city council with the splendid statement and endorsement which the committee has already received from Hon. James J. Walsh, mayor of Scranton.

No. 8. A telegram from Mr. William H. Ryan, president of the District 44, International Association of Machinists, Aerospace Workers urging the subcommittee to incorporate into this year's housing legislation provisions which will clarify the law to commit the Government to the orderly and expeditious acquisition of homes of such adversely affected employees as a cost element in the closing of such Federal installations.

(The material referred to follows:)

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE UNIVERSITIES
AND LAND-GRANT COLLEGES,
Washington, D.C., March 21, 1966.

HON. WRIGHT PATMAN,
Chairman, House Committee on Banking and Currency, Washington, D.C.

HON. WILLIAM A. BARRETT,
Chairman, Subcommittee on Housing, House Banking and Currency Committee, Washington, D.C.

DEAR FRIENDS: I am writing on behalf of the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges to call your attention to a most serious situation with respect to the college housing loan program, and to request permission for the association to present testimony at an appropriate time.

As of January 31 of this year the then Community Facilities Administration, which administers the college housing program, announced that it would receive no new applications for college housing loans. For applications already on file a rationing system was instituted, limiting loans to any one campus to \$4 million, with not more than one-fourth of this for related service facilities.

As of the cutoff date approximately \$800 million in loan applications were on hand. Had receipt of applications continued through the current fiscal year it is estimated that the total would be approximately \$1.1 billion, of which \$192