Mr. Dunn. No. Presumably --

Mr. Gallagher. You just told me this could be converted into an

Mr. Dunn. Not if it is protected by law, and even then it would be a intelligence system. very costly thing. It would be a very improbable kind of thing to do. Mr. GALLAGHER. Would it not be more costly to build in safeguards?

Mr. Dunn. I am talking about the safeguards. I am assuming the safeguards. I am not questioning the safeguards. I am saying we have to have those. I assume that you were raising the question, even assuming we have a protected system, don't you have a system where the stakes for getting into the system are not so high that safeguards might be brought into the system? I am responding and saying conceptually there is no system that cannot be broken, but if you have a system that is safeguarded appropriately, the cost of trying to break the system is astronomically beyond the value of getting an individual piece of data which could be much more economically and much less riskily gotten in some other way if what you want is some data about an

You see, it just does not make sense to try to go into some great big individual. file or record—for me, for example, if I wanted to find out something about Paul Krueger, to go into some big file or record where some limited number of characteristics, which might not be the ones I am interested in, are included with millions of other records, and try to prostitute a lot of people in the process, to find that out, when the chances are by hiring a private detective or going around and snooping a little bit myself or talking to a few of his friends I could find out practically

all the things I want to know about him anyway.

Mr. Horron. May I ask a question at this point, Mr. Chairman? I think you have been here and heard Mr. Macy's article referred to in which he, the head of the Civil Service Commission, talks about the wonders of this new system and says that direct tape-to-tape feeding of data from one department to another may become common. Then he goes on to say how they have used this computerized file to get names to furnish to the President for candidates for presidential appointments. So they are already using this system. They are not using

Mr. Dunn. No, sir. May I make a correction? this system or any system like it. This is not a statistical system. It is an intelligence system. In my testimony I made a clear distinction

and said I am not talking about that.

Mr. Horron. You were talking about getting some information on

Mr. Dunn. What I was saying is if I wanted to try to use a statisti-Mr. Krueger. cal system for intelligence purposes, it would be a very inefficient way to try to get intelligence.

Mr. Horron. But you could do it. It is on the tape.
Mr. Dunn. You might be able to do it by breaking the law and getting six other people to break the law.

Mr. Horron. We do not have any law on that now.

Mr. Dunn. I am saying you do not establish it until you do have. Mr. Horron. Could I ask a couple of questions, Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Horron. In this report of yours, Report No. 6, of December 1965, there is an appendix B which is referred to on page 6. You sug-Mr. Gallagher, Mr. Horton.