area are very small streams to commence with. They have a short distance of flow and consequently you must be very careful in committing yourself to the extensive use that is required in the streams in the New England area. So, that gave it an opportunity to make an exchange provided, of course, that one stream was not given an advantage in the area where the water is being withdrawn in the exchange.

Mr. Mosher. Mr. Chairman, could I ask one more question?

Mr. Daddario. Yes.
Mr. Mosher. You referred to appropriations over a 2-year period at the rate of \$20 million per year for demonstration projects.

Did I understand you to suggest that there is a need to expand and

accelerate that program?
Mr. Jones. Yes.

Mr. Mosher. Did I understand Mr. Blatnik to say that some of this money is going begging—that there are few takers?

Mr. Jones. He is talking about a different program. He is not

talking about the sewage mining demonstration program.

Mr. Mosher. I thought Mr. Blatnik was talking about that.

Mr. BLATNIK. Mr. Chairman, I will check on that.

Mr. Jones is more up to date than I am, but as I recall as of 2 months ago I believe only about three or four applications were before this particular agency and the other agencies that work on grants to municipalities for the combined storm sewer demonstration projects, and only one was granted.

Mr. Jones. One was granted to the city of Milwaukee. The reason there was a delay in making those grants is, under the reorganization plan transferring the authority from HEW to the Department of the Interior, it required a time delay; so, therefore, administratively they couldn't have undertaken it at an earlier date anyhow.

Mr. Mosher. So, you don't feel this money is going to go begging?

Mr. Jones. No, sir.

Mr. Mosher. And, you feel that it warrants expansion?

Mr. Jones. Yes, sir.

Mr. Daddario. Mr. Waggonner?

Mr. WAGGONNER. Mr. Chairman, I want to thank my colleague from Alabama for highlighting the common interest in this area between Minnesota and Alabama and I doubt that any areas inbetween feel

differently.

Mr. Jones has demonstrated through the years his profound interest and knowledge of the needs of pollution abatement. I want to comment on one phase of his testimony this morning which certainly speaks for itself, and that is, his stated desire and interest in bringing business into the picture to an extent greater than they participate today. I think he is exactly right, and I think this illustrates the point of concern which Mr. Roush expressed earlier. I think Mr. Roush's concern with the overlapping jurisdictions and duplication among the congressional committees is well placed, but in this particular instance I think he himself realizes that it is natural that a number of committees should have an interest in reallytic a should have an interest in reallytic and a state of the should have an interest in reallytic and a should have an interest in reallytic and a should have an interest in reallytic and a should be a sho number of committees should have an interest in pollution abatement. And, certainly this does involve many different interests. The Public Works Committee naturally is involved. The Government Operations Committee which Mr. Jones represents this morning, is naturally