

philosophy and approach that does permit us to look forward to improvements.

Mr. DADDARIO. I subscribe to that or otherwise we would never get anything done.

We will proceed and give the members an opportunity to ask some questions.

Mr. Roush?

Mr. ROUSH. Mr. Chairman, I have been very restless this morning and not satisfied that we are getting exactly what this committee wants. There have been numerous committees of the Congress dealing with this problem.

Now, I agree we have to think in terms of goals. I agree that we have to identify the problems. I agree that we have to stimulate the administrators into action. Yet I feel that this committee should play a particular role in the solution of this problem. I think my colleague, Mr. Conable, hit it right on the nose when he said we need to seek the guidance of the scientists and the man who is dealing with the technological problem. This is what I hope your people will be able to give us.

Now, I think that we want to know what your researchers and scientists are doing to solve these problems.

I think that we want to know what Chicago needs by way of scientific and technological information to solve the tremendous problem they have.

What does the Potomac area need to solve its pollution problem? I think what we want to know is what are we doing scientifically? What are we doing from a technological standpoint? What in-house research are you conducting? Specifically which pollutant problems are you dealing with and specifically which pollutant problems are other people dealing with?

What contracts do we have with colleges and universities who are dealing with this problem?

What are the scientific problems?

What are the technological problems?

What can the Congress do in these areas to help solve these problems? And, what are we doing to bring together, to correlate, and to make useful the various scientific endeavors which are being conducted all through this country to make them useful and to supply the scientist with information from another area of endeavor?

It seems to me that this has to be the thrust of these hearings if we are going to be successful and meet the requirement which the chairman set out yesterday in his statement when he said:

These hearings are designed to make clear to the Congress the technological problems of pollution abatement and research needs.

There are several questions here, Mr. Cohen, whose answers, I am sure, will be supplied as your people testify, but I did feel, Mr. Chairman, that these are questions which need answering.

They will be the real thrust of our endeavor.

Mr. COHEN. Could I say this: As you indicated, our experts are here under Mr. MacKenzie and will be glad to go into the questions you raised. If the committee would like, I would be glad to submit to the committee or put in the record so that the staff could review