

The experimental city would need to have a certain freeing of attitudes of Federal Government, local government, of industry and of labor so that it could be a total experiment where you could try various incentives.

We argue about which incentive will work, but we can argue until doomsday. Why don't we try and do the experimental approach? No engineer would mass produce anything without making an experimental model. Yet, we are mass producing cities in the United States with no experimental model at all. These two pragmatic suggestions may, if they were to be explored, provide the framework in which we could answer some of the very difficult philosophical questions which I cited in the preamble of my talk.

So, I made my contribution, Mr. Chairman. I simply suggest these two practical things as a framework of doing while we continue the framework of investigation, setting standards, and developing the scientific basis that is also necessary.

Mr. MOSHER. Mr. Chairman?

Mr. DADDARIO. Yes, Mr. Mosher?

Mr. MOSHER. The only Federal City is Washington, D.C. The Congress is the city council. You aren't suggesting that the District of Columbia could be used for this experimental city?

Mr. DADDARIO. You have to tear it down first.

Dr. SPILHAUS. Without mentioning present company like the city of Washington, I would say that most of the present cities, Washington included, have gone too far in the wrong direction to be a really efficient experiment. I'm talking about a city, at a guess, of about a quarter of a million people.

Mr. MOSHER. When you talk about an experimental city, I assume that you are talking about scientific procedures, which imply controls over human behavior and human life. You are biting off something that is pretty hard to chew, aren't you?

You say this is a pragmatic suggestion. The pragmaticism of it seems a little bit difficult to comprehend. It is highly imaginative.

Dr. SPILHAUS. I don't understand. You mean this would give the impression of being too much of a controlled community and people wouldn't like it, perhaps?

Mr. MOSHER. Controlled community.

Dr. SPILHAUS. Controlled community.

Well, I think, I have thought about that—

Mr. MOSHER. Where can you get 250,000 people to subject themselves to what is implied in your proposal?

Dr. SPILHAUS. I think there must be 250,000 people who are subjected to such filthy conditions now that they would be delighted to move to an experimental city.

Mr. MOSHER. Well, OK.

Dr. SPILHAUS. And, if the experimental city was a success at all, it would have to be such that people wanted to move there and weren't forced to move there.

Mr. MOSHER. But I think you are implying rather strict control over the lives of these people. Maybe I'm wrong.

Dr. TUKEY. It seems to me these "controls" ought to be referred to in quotation marks; they would be very indirect. Garbage would be