

Mr. QUIE. I recognize that. The local schools have not felt that adult education is their basic responsibility. However, the fact that you have run this program for a little while I wonder if they could find the means?

Mr. NICKERSON. This is not the only adult program. There are some others. I think there is a greater acceptance of this and recognition of its need. That is developing.

Mr. GIBBONS. Go ahead.

Mr. QUIE. Are you going into any donated commodities?

Mr. NICKERSON. Not particularly, unless you have some question on it.

Mr. QUIE. I would like to ask you about another OEO program, Headstart.

Mr. NICKERSON. I think probably there will be some testimony from some of the others today regarding that. Of course, this has not been a department operation as such, although we have had two programs, I think it is, in the unorganized territory of the State. I don't know whether you have any unorganized territories in Minnesota—

Mr. QUIE. We are all organized there.

Mr. NICKERSON. It is not disorganized. Our feeling on this is that if this is to be an educational program, and that is what we feel it is, that it should be administered by educational agencies. If the schools are to have obligations in connection with this, then the schools should have the responsibilities of operating them, and that this Headstart or early childhood education program should be a part of the U.S. Office of Education.

Mr. QUIE. You have run some preschool and kindergarten programs under title I of Public Law 89-10?

Mr. NICKERSON. Yes.

Mr. QUIE. I imagine there have been some Headstart programs run through OEO money, separate from the State department of education.

What kind of wedding of these two have you seen? Have some of those six projects received money from both places as the city of New York did?

Mr. NICKERSON. May I call on one of our title I administrators for comment, Mr. Morrison, if he is present?

Mr. MORRISON. We have only one program, the funds for the two agencies we used, that was Dover-Foxcroft where we had combination Headstart and title I projects.

Mr. QUIE. Any comments on how the program worked, whether they were funded from both places, especially since the OEO money could only be used for poor kids, while title I money was not necessarily limited to poor kids?

Mr. MORRISON. There was no problem with the small program they operated. They felt they operated a very successful program.

The funds under title I were used specifically for salaries of personnel, teachers who were going to work with disadvantaged youth. So this was no particular problem. I suppose in a larger operation perhaps it would be.

Mr. QUIE. What was the OEO money used for then since most of the money goes for salaries anyway?