Let's say that there have been nonsubstantive political considerations involved in the determination of the allocation of the funds.

Mr. BRADEMAS. Mr. Bradley, you also have for the record a statement by Dean Thomas P. Bergin of your Center for Continuing Education. Dean Bergin makes one point in his statement, perhaps you can summarize it and make any comment you have on it.

Mr. BRADLEY. I made reference to it in my statement, too, because it was an example of the difficulty we have with the State commission. The Higher Education Act in the State of Indiana, for apparently internal legal reasons, was unable to take the necessary action to participate effectively in title I because the State legislature, according to the letter from the Governor, had to convene and make the necessary authorizations for the State institutions to participate.

So we private institutions are sitting around waiting for the legislature to convene and do those things which it must do before we can participate. So we are really the tail that is tied to the State dog in this respect.

Mr. BRADEMAS. I am always grateful to state that all of our troubles in these matters are not the monopoly of the Federal Government.

Thank you very much indeed, Mr. Bradley. We appreciate your testimony. Very helpful.

Mr. BRADLEY. Thank you.

Mr. BRADEMAS. Dean Bergin's statement will be put in the record at this point.

(The statement follows:)

PREPARED STATEMENT OF DR. THOMAS P. BERGIN, DEAN OF CONTINUING EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IND.

Upon accepting the responsibilities as Dean of Continuing Education, I contacted the Office of Education to explore the possibilities of the University presenting a proposal under Title I of the Higher Education Act.

The response, interest and spirit of cooperation on the part of Dr. Jules Pagano and Dr. Eugene Welden of the Division of Adult Education Programs was most encouraging.

In spite of the interest at the federal level and our enthusiasm for presenting an imaginative program under Title I, it has been impossible to carry out negotiations any further because the State of Indiana has not yet designated its state agency to administer Title I of the Higher Education Act.

In April of 1966, Dr. Pagano, Director of the Division of Adult Education Programs, wrote me and stated:

"The State of Indiana has not established an organizational structure for Title I. We hope that something can be worked out in the months to come. We have just recently written to the Governor again with additional suggestions for implementing Title I *now*, but we have not yet received a reply. The Governor's office has the information and you should, perhaps, review what has been done to date."

In July of 1966, I wrote to Governor Roger D. Branigin requesting that a state agency be designated so that we might initiate a program in which the University might participate under Title I of the Higher Education Act. His reply was as follows:

"No state agency has been designated for the Title I program of the Higher Education Act because of a requirement that the State provide matching funds which had not been appropriated for this purpose.

"Provision of such funds will be considered by the General Assembly next January.

"It is regretted that there is no certain legal means by which the State could act on this program earlier. Besides the matter of funds, the General Assembly should have an opportunity to pass upon new programs of services involving State institutions."

With this letter there seemed to be little the Center for Continuing Education could do until such time as the legislature would convene.