

Has any local school district changed from the 1962 to the 1965 edition since the 1965 has become available?

Mr. PETERSON. I am sure the answer is "Yes," although I am hard pressed to tell you exactly. We had many schools that adopted the new edition in the primary grades as soon as the multiethnic was available in the grades 4 to 6. They kept the books they had in the other grades. Many went back and put in films of the multiethnic so they had both editions in school.

Mr. HAWKINS. Are you saying the only difference now is due to local regulations of school district or a State concerning the period in which adoptions are made? This is the only explanation as to the reason why a State or local school district would still be using the 1962 edition?

Mr. PETERSON. I am not sure I understand your question exactly.

Mr. HAWKINS. I think your explanation of why you continue to publish the 1962 edition is that certain States and local districts have adoption periods which means they must continue under the old contract, that is a 3-, 4-, or 5-year contract. This is the explanation of why a district which has available the latest edition does not use latest edition, but continues to use the 1962 edition.

What I am asking now is do you know of any State or district which is not faced with that problem and which has available to it technically and legally the right to use the latest edition, that is now using the 1965 edition in preference to the 1962 edition?

Mr. PETERSON. Well, I would have to say that everybody has not embraced multiethnic materials. There are some people who would still prefer to buy the others.

Mr. HAWKINS. There has been no pressure of any kind put on you by any district or any local area against the use of this 1965 edition?

Mr. PETERSON. No reprisals have been made. Nobody has said we won't adopt your arithmetic because you brought that out. There has been nothing of that sort. Everybody to whom we presented the books just didn't adopt them.

Mr. HAWKINS. Those that did not adopt that book; have they adopted the 1962 book?

Mr. PETERSON. Yes.

Mr. HAWKINS. So it is true then that given a preference and having the legal opportunity to do so, there are some areas that still prefer the 1962 edition to the 1965 edition?

Mr. PETERSON. I believe that would be true.

Mr. HAWKINS. Would you say it is primarily because of the treatment of the subject, the multiethnic treatment of the subject in the 1965 edition, that some areas use the 1962 edition rather than the 1965 edition?

Mr. PETERSON. They don't tell us their reasons. I think you have to read between the lines and decide for yourself.

Mr. HAWKINS. I think perhaps you know the reason.

That is all.

Mr. DANIELS. Mr. Radcliffe?

Mr. RADCLIFFE. No questions.

Mr. DANIELS. Do you know of any resistance to the purchase of the new integrated multiethnic books?

Mr. PETERSON. I would say that there have been places where our books have not been adopted but they adopted somebody else's multi-