

Now, as the gentleman is aware, there has been a very large number of these resolutions introduced. There have been quite a few Members who have said that they want to be heard on the resolution, or on their resolutions, and this committee feels that it should hear all of those who want to be heard. If I have any policy as far as this committee is concerned, it is that any Member of Congress who wants to be heard on this or any other matter that comes before the committee should have the opportunity to present his views.

I don't think there has been any disposition to dillydally with this or postpone it. I think that something will come out of this committee.

The only question involved is what is the best thing to come out of it and there is, as you know, a considerable variance about how it should be handled.

The thing that bothers me about expediting the adoption of a meaningful resolution is whether it should be a resolution consisting of our statements and further study, or whether there should be some resolution reported with teeth, to use that common expression, and get through with it rather than having further study.

I think we all know what the problem is. And so far as I am concerned, I don't care whether it is a select committee or the rules are amended to give some standing committee jurisdiction. I do think that whatever is reported should be of a permanent nature rather than just a select committee that would expire.

Thank you for listening to my remarks.

Mr. DELLENBACK. I commend the chairman for a series of points. One, I think your demonstrated intention that this committee will not move too quickly but will listen to the other Members of Congress who wish to testify on this. I appreciate your listening to me this morning.

The CHAIRMAN. Will the gentleman agree with me that hasty and precipitous action would not obtain the best result?

Mr. DELLENBACK. I am sure hasty and precipitous action can directly lead to improper results, but I do at the same time urge as strongly as I can—on this I know I speak for about 50 of us—that we would urge you and the members of this committee to move on this with all the haste you can bring to bear on it so there is a meaningful action taken at the earliest possible date.

If we study this in too great depth, I think the rest of this first session of this 90th Congress could be used on it and I think this would be a serious mistake.

Mr. MADDEN. I want to commend the gentleman for his statement, but don't you think that, if a committee like this is created, we ought to include in these resolutions and recommend to the Congress that they go into what I think is one of the most unethical practices, creates more scandal, influences more Members—that is this outlandish practice that in late years has expanded to where Congressmen and Senators have campaign funds that they spend as high as \$3 million or \$4 million.

One Congressman spent \$250,000. Whether he spent it, or whether certain influences who wanted to have his ear if he were elected spent it for him, don't you think that has unethical overtones for the Congress when a man comes in with those obligations?