

I think Dick Bolling mentioned the other day such things as "Give a full day's labor for a full day's pay," and I think that is relevant to what is before us.

If we apply this Code of Ethics to every humble and lowly Federal employee, we ought to be willing to apply it to ourselves and yet to my knowledge we didn't mention it.

It was the sense of Congress as stated in the preamble at the time this code was adopted that it should be adhered to by all Government employees.

I merely bring this up to illustrate that we have to be a little more energetic than we have been.

I am for a bipartisan committee. I think it ought to in time become a standing committee. I think it ought to have plenary powers to look into travel matters and I would urge that once it is selected and chosen that it seek the further authority, after consideration as to how this will be handled, to require disclosure, whether to the committee or the public. I would like to see a little discussion and debate on this but I think disclosure power is a thing the committee should have.

Membership in the Congress is a full-time job and for most of us it is the only active position we hold. Medical and bar associations have all come to the practice of having grievance committees and I think we have come to it. I am sure you gentlemen will give it very serious and sympathetic consideration.

Let me just add one word to the previous statement. I am sorry Mr. Madden is not here because I was intrigued by the question he asked Mr. Dellenback—would he think the corrupt practice question should be within the purview or jurisdiction of this committee.

I do not, sir. I think the campaign or corrupt practice, whatever the word you want to use to describe it, is a problem of very great proportions for this country. But it is a problem which comprehends the Presidency and the other bodies and I would not like to see the campaign practice problem dealt with only by this House or Congress.

It is a national problem and a separate problem, although related, but a separate problem than the problem in the House.

Thank you.

The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON of Illinois. The reference by members of this committee, the specific reference to the concurrent resolution in 1958, perhaps that should be stricken.

What is your feeling on that?

Mr. MATHIAS. I would suppose that the select committee when chosen, if it roughly conforms to the language of the resolution, would probably have other language that would apply.

Mr. ANDERSON of Illinois. We can dispense with the incorporation by reference to this code that you have?

Mr. MATHIAS. I think so.

Mr. ANDERSON of Illinois. It would be incorporated as part of the standards?

Mr. MATHIAS. The resolution antedated our chance to put it into practice when we did it.

The CHAIRMAN. Anything further?

Mr. Matsunaga?