## CREATING A SELECT COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS AND CONDUCT

## WEDNESDAY, MARCH 8, 1967

House of Representatives, Committee on Rules, Washington, D.C.

The committee met, pursuant to recess, at 11 a.m, in room H-313, he Capitol, Hon. William M. Colmer (chairman of the committee), presiding.

The CHAIRMAN. The committee will come to order.

The committee will resume hearings this morning on House Resolution 18 and allied resolutions which I understand now have increased about 75. The counsel advises me I am in error. It is around 100. Mr. Goodell, the committee will be pleased to hear from you.

## TATEMENT OF HON. CHARLES E. GOODELL, A REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FROM THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Mr. Goodell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, my colleagues. I am lere today as a spokesman for the Republican leadership. I would sk, Mr. Chairman, that the resolution of the House Republican policy committee adopted February 8, with reference to a Select Committee on Standards and Conduct be made a part of the record, f that is an order.

I am here more importantly, however, as a Member of Congress vho reveres this institution. I have great respect for the membership of this House. I think I can state unabashedly I have never been associated with an aggregate of men and women for whom I have nore respect. Under those circumstances, I think it is a painful and difficult task to talk about the problem of ethics and misconduct nour ranks.

I think all of us have dealt with the problem in recent weeks with a reat deal of anguish. But having such pride in the House of Repesentatives, I feel it incumbent upon me, and I think it is incumbent n the membership, to take action.

Recently, a poll indicated that 88 percent of the citizens of this ountry were aware of the affairs of our colleague, Mr. Powell. Anther poll disclosed that 60 percent of the people consider misuse of lovernment funds as a fairly common practice by Congressmen. I hink this reflects a crisis of confidence in the Congress which we canot ignore. We cannot satisfy ourselves with the defense that the ublic is wrong in its judgment of the Congress. I think we must ace the unpleasant fact, as bluntly pointed out in the recent editorial