

"Whereas" clauses or in the body of the resolution, putting in some specific reference, such as Mr. Fulton has already suggested with regard to promoting the private sector of the economies of these countries as compared to the public sectors, or the governmental activities, the direct governmental activities in the economic areas?

Secretary RUSK. We had thought that we had covered that in the third paragraph, but we will examine the question as to whether that might be strengthened in some way, Mr. Taft.

Mr. TAFT. You mean in the third paragraph of the body?

Secretary RUSK. That is correct, sir.

Mr. TAFT. That mentions individual efforts of member states, but I frankly looked at that paragraph in an attempt to find—you do mention use of private resources.

Secretary RUSK. Further reference is made in one of the "Whereas" clauses, but we will be glad to look at this to see whether there will be any difficulty about some further language on that.

Mr. TAFT. One further question, and I know the other members are disturbed by this because this is a somewhat new procedure, as I understand it, as to whether or not this is an authorization.

I recognize, and I am sure most of the other members recognize, that it isn't an authorization. But let's assume that even if the other nations do as well, or perhaps even better, than they can possibly be expected to do under the plan that is outlined here, do you feel that a member, myself or any other member, who would continue to serve here in the forthcoming years if, having voted for this resolution, to support what I estimate is about \$6.5 billion of aid during the next 5 years, would we be inconsistent if I voted to authorize less than that total amount because of changed circumstances in the United States, or perhaps a change in our attitude?

That is what confuses me here about this authorization that isn't an authorization. If I am going to vote for this resolution, I want to make it perfectly clear on the record that I don't want to feel that I am committing myself, and I would like to have some statement from the Department to the effect that they don't feel that I am committing myself on these authorizations.

Secretary RUSK. Mr. Taft, when the question comes up in later years about authorizing legislation or appropriations for the purposes that we are discussing here, there will be a number of things which both we in the executive branch and you in the Congress will want to take into account.

For example, just what does this integration mean, what are they doing, are they moving in a way that they tell us they expect to move?

Mr. TAFT. I am not talking, Mr. Secretary—I think you miss my point—I am not talking about what happens to them. I am talking about what happens to us.

Suppose our balance of payments continues on a highly unfavorable basis, and we decide simply on the basis of our own balance-of-payments situation we have to cut back on authorizations for foreign aid.

Secretary RUSK. I would not consider, Mr. Taft, that a vote for this resolution would pledge you to vote for authorization and appropriation at these later stages, regardless. I do hope that if you vote for this resolution, your view would be later that this is a good idea,