

Ambassador LINOWITZ. I can see no objection to that, sir. Again this is obviously something that would be included—

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN. Well, I can see an objection. We are talking about a 3- to 5-year period in many of these cases. What you are asking is for Congress to abdicate its responsibility to take a look at these things down the road.

When President Kennedy was alive he had members of the Foreign Affairs Committee up to the second floor. He sat in his rocker trying to persuade us to go for a long term authorization for some of these foreign aid programs, and in the case of the Alliance for Progress in certain cases we have approved a long-term basis.

But if you now are asking—and this is the gist of the argument—for a long-term program, and you are certainly asking this with respect to the integration fund which isn't even going to be in existence until 1969, you should surely spell out that this is the significance of the resolution. You want us to pass judgment on certain questions for a period of years. Then we will not be in a position to pass judgment on it in future years.

I would suggest, if you propose a 3-year program for that kind of financial assistance, that we ought to indicate it in the resolution. It seems very poorly phrased. There is no reference—with respect to the integration fund paragraph—at all that is not to be developed until 1969, that this is in effect to be a one-shot operation. There is no indication of what a transitional period is. There is no indication of how much matching is to be required.

It seems to me that if we are to tie our hands with respect to an obligation to provide substantial financial assistance, that we ought to have language that at least represents what we plan to commit ourselves to next month.

Chairman MORGAN. The time of the gentleman from New Jersey has expired, and the time of the gentleman from Michigan, Mr. Broomfield, has expired.

Mr. SELDEN.

Mr. SELDEN. Mr. Ambassador, I think perhaps some of us are getting confused as to just what is in this resolution, what it actually does. Consequently, I would like to ask several questions, the answers to which I hope will clarify the situation.

Am I correct in stating that, while the resolution does recommend resources to carry out certain specifics, the resolution does not authorize the appropriation of funds. Am I correct in that statement?

Ambassador LINOWITZ. It does not authorize, that is right.

Mr. SELDEN. Am I correct in stating further that the reference to specific amounts in the President's message, in the Secretary of State's statement yesterday, and in your statement today, is an effort to spell out the maximum additional amounts that the executive branch of our Government feels it will be necessary to spend in Latin America during the next 5 years?

Ambassador LINOWITZ. For these purposes, that is correct, sir.

Mr. SELDEN. Am I correct in stating further that this resolution does not in any way bind the Congress to a specific figure? The amount spelled out in the President's message, as I understand it, will have to be presented to Congress with the necessary justification,