

If it all works, then this Congress can work its will and its liberality to implement this resolution when, as it clearly states in line 23, they have demonstrated need and self-help within the recipient countries. I think it is quite clear—

Mrs. BOLTON. Would the gentleman yield?

Mr. GALLAGHER. Yes, I will yield.

Mrs. BOLTON. Just for a moment.

I want to have it very clear in this meeting that there is absolutely no partisan spirit in what is being said on this side of the table. We have every desire to help the President and to work together with him, but when we believe that a thing isn't done very well, what should we do—keep still—when we think it could be done better?

Mr. GALLAGHER. No, I think if it can be done better, then there should be a substitute resolution to go into an authorization request, and I would support an authorization request, but I think just to potshot at the Ambassador here today is not really serving any great and noble bipartisan purpose.

Mrs. BOLTON. I am sure the gentlemen doesn't really mean what he is saying. There is no potshotting at all.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Well, I heard the Ambassador potshot at quite a few times this morning. If my friends want to substitute an authorization request to implement this resolution, I would support it.

Mrs. BOLTON. But is there time?

Mr. GALLAGHER. This is one of the problems. In 1960, following our chairman's leadership, I was delighted to support President Eisenhower, who just wanted the kind of credentials that our President wants here today to go down and say that the United States is looking forward to some progress in Latin America and we are prepared to do our part.

I think if there is an attempt to try to embarrass our President, then I think what they are really doing is embarrassing this Nation—

Mrs. BOLTON. That is the last thing we are trying to do.

Mr. MORSE. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GALLAGHER. I will yield.

Mr. MORSE. I resent deeply the implication of the gentleman's statement. There is no one on this committee who has worked any harder over 4 years in support of the Alliance for Progress, then I have and the gentleman knows it.

For him to suggest that the questions raised by me or my colleagues on this side of the aisle are designed to embarrass the President of the United States or the people of the United States is an outrage.

Mr. GALLAGHER. Well, I am glad the gentleman views it that way, because it was not an implication—it was a clear statement of fact in some of the questions that were asked. Some of the things that you have said and some of the things Mr. Frelinghuysen has said have raised very good points.

Is there really a moral obligation that the Congress is assuming? You may think so; I do not think so. I think we are going to have an opportunity. But some of the other questions that were directed were clearly undiluted politics.

Mr. MORSE. The gentleman is indulging in politics of a high order right now.