H.R. 13103. We submit that the taxation of foreign source interest income earned by a foreign corporation engaged in the banking business is in derogation of this

purpose of the Bill as set forth below:

1. At first appearances, it may seem equitable to tax foreign banking institutions on their foreign source interest income if such income is attributable to activities of an office or place of business in the United States, since a domestic bank is taxed on its world-wide income including that derived from sources outside of the United States. However, upon closer analysis, it becomes apparent that domestic banking institutions have certain Federal income tax privileges which are denied resident foreign banks. For example, a domestic bank may claim annual deductions for additions to its reserve for bad debts until the reserve equals 2.4 per cent of loans outstanding at the close of the taxable year, regardless of whether its bad debt experience indicates that any losses, in fact, (Rev. Rul. 65-92, 1965-1 C.B. 112). A resident foreign bank, on the other hand, may only claim a deduction for those bad debts actually incurred, or a deduction for an addition to a reserve for bad debts based upon a reasonable expectation that a percentage of loans will default under the normal rules set forth in Section 166. If, based on subsequent experience, such bad debts do not materialize, the addition to the reserve must be restored to income by the resident foreign bank. Since the primary source of earning income for any bank is the loaning of funds, a resident foreign bank is at a distinct disadvantage 'n comparison to a domestic banking institution.

2. However, the inequitable tax treatment between domestic and foreign banks oes much further. As a general rule, where a taxpayer corporation disposes f a capital asset at a gain, such gain is taxed at the reduced rate of 25 per cent. ny losses derived from the sale or exchange of capital assets are first offset gainst the gains from such sales and any excess may be carried forward for a eriod of five years and utilized against future gains from the sale of capital ssets (Section 1212(a)). Any excess of losses over gains from the sale or xchange of capital assets may not be offset against so-called ordinary income axed at the regular corporate tax rates. In the case of a domestic bank, owever, if the losses of the taxable year from sales or exchanges of bonds, ebentures, notes, or certificates, or other evidences of indebtedness, issued by ny corporation (including one issued by a government or political subdivision hereof) exceed the gains of the taxable year from such sales or exchanges, no uch sale or exchange shall be considered a sale or exchange of a capital asset Section 582(c), Treas. Reg. Sec. 1.582-1(c)). This means that if the losses xceed the gains from the sale or exchange of such capital assets, a domestic ank secures the benefit of an ordinary deduction applicable against income axed at the 48 per cent rate. A resident foreign bank may only deduct capital sses against capital gains taxed at the 25 per cent rate and any excess may nly be carried forward for five years and charged against capital gains. oes not have capital gains within such period or not sufficient gains to absorb uch losses, the carry-over can be lost forever. No deduction for capital losses permitted against ordinary income of a resident foreign bank.

3. A further area of inequitable treatment stems from the fact that domestic anks-are allowed to deduct interest paid on deposits and other expenses incurred 1 earning tax-exempt interest. Interest income earned on obligations issued by ny of the fifty states or their municipalities is exempt from U. S. income tax Section 265(2) sets forth the general rule that no deduction Section 103). nall be allowed for interest or indebtedness incurred or continued to purchase r carry obligations, the interest from which is wholly exempt from Federal tax. lowever, this rule does not apply to domestic banks. The provisions of Section 65(2) have no application to interest paid on indebtedness represented by eposits in banks engaged in the general banking business since such indebtedess is not considered to be "indebtedness incurred or continued to purchase r carry obligations . . ." within the meaning of Section 265 (Rev. Rul. 61-222, 961-2 C.B. 58). Even though a domestic bank may use a portion of its deposits o purchase tax-exempt state or municipal bonds, the interest expense paid on uch deposits is fully deductible without any allocation to the tax-exempt inerest income. A resident foreign bank, on the other hand, is not accorded his same privilege. It may only claim a deduction for those expenses which re connected with earning taxable gross income from sources within the United tates (Section 882(c)(2), Treas. Reg. Sec. 1.882-3(b) and 1.873-1(a)(1)). ection 861(a) which defines income from sources within the United States imits this concept to "items of gross income." Municipal and state bond interest s not included in "gross income" (Section 103). Thus, to the extent that com-