dent, will the Senator yield for a question?

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. I yield. Mr. LONG of Louisiana. Who was the Senator who offered the first nongermane amendment and got it agreed to in committee? I believe it was the Senator from Delaware [Mr. WILLIAMS].

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. The Saltonstall amendment which was offered was not germane, but would not in any way affect revenue this year, next year, or the years after.

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. I am not talking about revenue. I am talking about who offered the first nongermane amendment.

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. The Senator should be thinking about revenue. The Senator from Louisiana offered the first nongermane amendment on clam shells to reduce taxes.

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. No. Who offered the first nongermane amendment?

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. The Saltonstall amendment calls for an annual accounting for the assets and liabilities of this Government. Why blame the Senator from Massachusetts for the sins committed upon this bill in all of these depletion and campaign amendments?

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. Wholly nongermane.

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. Yes; the 23 amendments we are speaking of here are the amendments that lose revenue in the bill. They account for not \$310 million as the Senator from Louisiana claims, but a loss of \$500 million to \$600 million. The committee estimate was \$410 million.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that an excerpt of the committee report reflecting that figure be printed at this point in the Record.

There being no objection, the excerpt from the report was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

The amendments added to the bill by your committee, other than those relating to the Foreign Investors Tax Act, are expected to result in an annual revenue loss (or expenditure increase) of slightly over \$400 million. Two hundred million dollars of this is attributable to the medicare amendment making provision for drugs under the supplementary benefit program. The provision making medical expenses deductible in full with respect to most persons over age 65 is expected to result in an annual revenue loss of \$180 An expenditure of approximately million. \$70 million every 4 years also is expected from the Presidential Election Campaign Fund The remaining provisions added by your committee are expected to result in a further revenue loss of approximately \$10 million a year.

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. Mr. President, the estimate in the committee report shows \$410 million as the lowest estimate. The estimate given to our committee by the Treasury was between \$500 million and \$600 million.

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. Mr. President, would the Senator yield at that point?

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. I yield. Mr. LONG of Louisiana. That is not the net figure.

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. What is the difference?

There are several sections in titles II, III, and IV, none of which are related to the elderly. In spite of all of the argument that has been made here, parading the elderly around and boasting of what is going to be done for them in 1968, there are only two sections dealing with that subject.

All of the other 21 sections represent special tax treatment for some group.

Mr. President, in order to get a clear vote on this matter I am going to comply with the request of the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. Gore] and confine this amendment to eliminating all of titles II, III, and IV except those two sections, sections 202 and 403, which deal with the elderly.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to so modify the amendment.

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. Mr. President, I object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Russell of South Carolina in the chair). Objection is heard.

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. Mr. President, the Senator asked for the yeas and nays on the amendment. He should remember that he has to vote on it.

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. The Senator is correct.

Mr. President, I send to the desk a substitute amendment and ask that it be stated.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

Mr. Williams of Delaware proposes in lieu of his amendment: Beginning on page 184, strike out sections 201, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, and 216.

Beginning on page 214 strike out title III. Beginning on page 222 strike out sections 401 and 402.

Mr. GORE. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. I yield. Mr. GORE. Does the substitute amendment do what the Senator sought to do by unanimous consent?

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. Exactly. I checked with the Parliamentarian. It is in order.

Mr. GORE. If this substitute is agreed to——

Mr. WILLIAMS of Delaware. It would knock out all of titles II, III, and IV except sections 202 and 403.

Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays on this amendment.

Mr. President, I ask that the amendments be considered en bloc.

The yeas and nays were ordered.