

If present and voting, the Senator from Colorado [Mr. ALLOTT], and the Senator from Texas [Mr. TOWER] would each vote "yea."

The respective pairs of the Senator from Nebraska [Mr. CURTIS], the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. COOPER], and that of the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. MORTON] have been previously announced.

On this vote, the Senator from Kansas [Mr. PEARSON] is paired with the Senator from Idaho [Mr. JORDAN]. If present and voting, the Senator from Kansas would vote "yea" and the Senator from Idaho would vote "nay."

The result was announced—yeas 39, nays 31, as follows:

[No. 300 Leg.]

YEAS—39

Bayh	Griffin	Mondale
Bible	Gruening	Mundt
Brewster	Harris	Murphy
Byrd, Va.	Hartke	Nelson
Byrd, W. Va.	Holland	Proxmire
Cannon	Hruska	Ribicoff
Carlson	Inouye	Saltonstall
Cotton	Jordan, N.C.	Scott
Dominick	Magnuson	Smith
Ervin	McClellan	Sparkman
Fannin	McGovern	Talmadge
Fong	McIntyre	Thurmond
Fulbright	Miller	Young, N. Dak.

NAYS—31

Aiken	Jackson	Muskie
Bartlett	Kuchel	Pastore
Boggs	Lausche	Robertson
Burdick	Long, Mo.	Russell, S.C.
Clark	Long, La.	Russell, Ga.
Dirksen	Mansfield	Stennis
Dodd	McCarthy	Symington
Ellender	McGee	Yarborough
Gore	Monroney	Young, Ohio
Hart	Montoya	
Hill	Morse	

NOT VOTING—30

Allott	Hayden	Pearson
Anderson	Hickenlooper	Pell
Bass	Javits	Prouty
Bennett	Jordan, Idaho	Randolph
Case	Kennedy, Mass.	Simpson
Church	Kennedy, N.Y.	Smathers
Cooper	Metcalf	Tower
Curtis	Morton	Tydings
Douglas	Moss	Williams, N.J.
Eastland	Neuberger	Williams, Del.

So Mr. HARTKE's amendment was agreed to.

Mr. HARTKE. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was agreed to.

Mr. ERVIN. Mr. President, I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

[P. 25371]

EQUITABLE TAX TREATMENT FOR FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill (H.R. 13103) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to provide equitable tax treatment for foreign investment in the United States.

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT REQUEST

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, there have been some conversations going on in the Chamber between various interested parties for the past hour. I am about to propound a unanimous-consent request, as follows:

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent—first, I know that there are only two more amendments coming up and there may be others—but I ask unanimous consent that at the conclusion of a 10-minute morning hour tomorrow that the amendments then be considered on a 10-minute basis, one-half of the time to be under the control of the distinguished Senator from Louisiana [Mr. LONG], the manager of the bill, and the other half to be under the control of the proposer of the amendment; and when these two amendments and any others are disposed of, that we have a vote on final passage.

Mr. LAUSCHE. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, will there be an opportunity for Senators to speak on the merits of the bill as it pertains to final passage, on the basis of the proposal made by the Senator from Montana?

Mr. MANSFIELD. How much time would the Senator desire?

Mr. LAUSCHE. I would want 3 minutes.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that there be one-half hour on the bill.

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. Mr. President, the Senator, of course, includes the usual requirement that all amendments be germane?

Mr. MANSFIELD. The Senator is correct.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The request is for one-half hour on the bill, to be equally divided.

Mr. MANSFIELD. That is correct.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection? The Chair hears none, and it is so ordered.

The unanimous-consent agreement, subsequently reduced to writing, is as follows:

UNANIMOUS-CONSENT AGREEMENT

Ordered, That, effective on October 13, 1966, at the conclusion of routine morning business, not to exceed 10 minutes, during the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 13103), an act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to provide equitable tax treatment for foreign investment in the United States, debate on any amendment, motion, or appeal, except a motion to lay on the table, shall be limited to 10 minutes, to be equally divided and controlled by the mover of any such amendment or motion and Senator LONG of Louisiana: *Provided*, That in the event that he is in favor of any such amendment or motion, the time in opposition thereto shall be controlled by the minority leader or some Senator designated by him: *Provided further*, That no amendment that is not germane to the provisions of the said bill shall be received.

Ordered further, That on the question of