

since the intended difference between the two queries evidently was not so clear to many respondents as it seemed to Committee staff. Question 5 called for "estimates of the probable level or magnitude of performance of the program in 1970." The answers sought were approximations (projections) in terms of dollars, people, or other units indicating whether the program was likely to remain constant in size or would grow or shrink, and, if it changed, to what extent. The question mentioned technological, economic, social, and other factors among conditions to be taken into account in arriving at the projections. Question 6(c), quoted earlier, asked for a statement about prospective changes in the conditions under which the program will function—that is, "prospective or probable changes in program orientation or emphasis which may affect the particular activity or program." One question sought projections of magnitudes or proportions; the other sought information about prospective changes in conditions or orientation.

A substantial number of respondents made the distinction and supplied interesting data on both points. Among these are the Labor Department reports on manpower development and training, the employment service, and the farm labor service; the Department of Housing and Urban Development reports on the Federal Housing Administration and the rent supplement program; the National Science Foundation and Atomic Energy Commission statements on science education and training; and the Civil Service Commission replies for some of its programs.

The Department of Defense statement on dependents' education indicates innovations and changes that may be induced by increased national emphasis on education. Its report on medical care for military personnel and their families makes similar comments in the health field. The Veterans' Administration supplied estimates for the compensation and pension program, but it did not undertake to comment on the significance of the Vietnamese conflict for either this program or veterans' medical care.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development, in its statement on urban planning, notes that the introduction of computers has revolutionized planning but refrains from commenting on the significance of this development for the Federal program.

The Federal Water Pollution Control Administration was still in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare when its reply was prepared; consequently answers were not given to questions 5 and 6, despite mounting public interest and technological activity in this field.

The generalized Office of Economic Opportunity answers note only that the general economic situation and the question of war or peace are important to the antipoverty effort.

*Question 7. Coordination and cooperation.*—The Committee asked a compound question, calling for reports on (i) aspects of each program in which opportunities for coordination and cooperation arise or might be created, and (ii) organizational arrangements, operating agreements, administrative regulations or procedures, and other devices or methods developed to promote coordination and cooperation.

For the most part, and not surprisingly, respondents ignored part (i). Possibly they assumed that an extensive report of actual cooperative and coordinating activities and arrangements would demonstrate that all opportunities of this kind were pursued assiduously. Omis-