

TABLE 1.—*Level of operations or performance, fiscal years 1964-65; and estimated level of operations, fiscal years 1966-67*

Measure	Unit (see notes)	Fiscal year 1964	Fiscal year 1965	Fiscal year 1966 estimate	Fiscal year 1967 (budget estimate)
(a) Magnitude of the program.....	Individual....	392,442	632,687	1,200,000	¹ 2,000,000
(b) Applicants or participants:					
State government agencies.....	State.....	22	29	41	46
Local communities or govern- ments.	Area.....	43	110	² 348	³ 600
(c) Federal finances:					
Appropriations available.....	Thousand dollars.	⁴ 44,625	⁵ 55,650	99,992	⁶ 150,000
Obligations incurred.....	do.....	30,507	35,560	99,992	150,000
(d) Matching or additional expendi- tures for the program. ⁷					
(e) Number of Federal Government employees administering, oper- ating, or supervising the activity:					
(1) Administrative or super- visory.	Man-year.....	117	138	354	600
(f) Non-Federal personnel employed in the program.	(⁸)	(⁸)	(⁸)	(⁸)	(⁸)
(g) Other measures of level or magni- tude of performance.					

¹ Estimated. Actual year-end participation will depend upon the pace of expansion during the fiscal year.

² Number of areas based on Secretary's announcement of Jan. 24, 1966.

³ Number depends upon the size of areas requested by the States. This figure is based upon an average of about 3,000 participants per area reflecting the trend toward smaller areas in recent requests.

⁴ Financed from sec. 32 funds.

⁵ Includes \$25,000,000 direct appropriation and \$30,650,000 transfer from sec. 32 funds.

⁶ To be financed by transfer from sec. 32 funds.

⁷ Program funds are 100 percent Federal. Amount of expenditures by State and local governments to administer program is not available.

⁸ Not available.

5. Estimated magnitude of program in 1970

Data not available.

6. Prospective changes in program orientation

(a) *Pending legislative proposals:* An amendment to the act to provide appropriation authority for fiscal years beyond 1967.

(b) *Proposed administrative and organizational changes:* None.

(c) *Probable changes in operating conditions in 1970:* Unknown.

7. Coordination and cooperation

(a) *Within the office.*—Close liaison is maintained with the other consumer food programs, particularly commodity distribution, under which Government-owned foods are donated to States for distribution to needy families. Because the food stamp program is not immediately available to all areas of the country that want it, it is important that food assistance be available in the form of donated foods until such time as all requests for food stamp assistance can be met.

(b) *With other units of USDA.*—Through C. & M.S. membership on groups such as the Rural Area Development Board and the Task Force on Appalachia, information on the program's availability and benefits are widely disseminated through the Washington and field offices of USDA.

Because a major function of the program is to improve nutrition among participating low-income households, all the resources of USDA's extensive research and knowledge on the fundamentals of good nutrition are called upon and are communicated through such organizations as the Extension Service.