

TABLE 1.—*Level of operations or performance, fiscal year 1964-67*

Measure	Unit	Fiscal year			
		1964	1965	1966 estimate	1967 estimate
(a) Magnitude of program.....	U.S. population.....	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
(b) Applicants or participants:					
States.....	States.....	48	48	48	48
Local.....	Local jurisdictions..	3,000	3,000	3,100	3,200
(c) Federal finances (see table for total program of the Division).					
(d) Additional expenditures for program of States and communities (estimated).	Thousands of dollars.	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
(e) Number of Federal Government employees administering, operating, and supervising program.					
	Consulters.....	33	33	33	33
	Researchers.....	25	27	30	30
	Trainers.....	4	4	4	4
	Clerical.....	40	42	46	46
(f) Non-Federal personnel employed in program.	State and local official agency and industry.	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500

¹More than 97 percent.

(a) Population coverage by 1 or more of the PHS recommended model ordinances implemented by State and local health agencies, with technical advice and counsel by milk and food program. Progress will be in terms of State and local use of an increasing number of PHS model ordinances.

(b) Number of States using one or more of the PHS model regulations.

(c) Several years ago it was estimated that State and local agencies spent more than \$29,000,000 for milk, meat, poultry, and other food protection programs. There has been only small increase in expenditures by State and local governments in these areas during the past few years because of the demands of some of the newer, more glamorous programs with public health problems of a speculative nature.

(e) The roles of the milk and food program personnel are broken down into 3 professional and 1 subprofessional categories in order to specify broadly the roles of these employees.

(f) The number of State and local official agency and industry personnel engaged in milk and food program activities is estimated.

5. *Estimated magnitude of program in 1970*

Not answered.

6. *Prospective changes in program orientation*

Not answered.

7. *Coordination and cooperation*

Coordination and cooperation with other programs and agencies as to purposes, policies, operations and financing:

(a) *Within Bureau, Division, or Office.*—The Interstate Carrier Branch participates in the development of Milk and Food Branch model ordinances, standards, and other program guides which have applicability in the regulatory function of that Branch. Such guides are used in the technical interpretation and enforcement of the Interstate Quarantine Regulations. In addition, regional Milk and Food Branch personnel evaluate the acceptability of milk and other food sources used by interstate carriers.

(b) *With other units of Department or Agency.*—The Milk and Food Branch is dependent upon the Communicable Disease Center, Atlanta, Ga., for epidemiological investigations of foodborne disease outbreaks. When these occur on interstate carriers, both groups usually assist the Interstate Carrier Branch with studies necessary to enforce the Interstate Quarantine Regulations. Research and surveillance related to radionuclides in food are coordinated closely with the Division of Radiological Health, which, in fact, provides fiscal support for some Milk and Food Branch activities in this field.

In 1959, a document entitled Shellfish, Milk and Food Service Sanitation Activities of the Public Health Service and the Food and