Table 1.—Level of operations or performance, fiscal years 1965-67 1 [Dollar amounts in millions]

Measure	Fiscal year 1965 actual	Fiscal year 1966 estimate	Fiscal year 1967 estimate
(a) Magnitude of program: Residential rehabilitation loans approved Business rehabilitation loans approved Rehabilitation grants approved		\$15. 0 \$1. 5	\$27. \$7. \$1
(b) Applicants or participants: Individuals or families? Other business firms		2,500	4,60
(c) Federal finances: 3 Unobligated appropriations available Obligations incurred Commitments made	\$10	\$50 \$15 \$15	\$3 \$3 \$3
(d) Matching or additional expenditures 4	14	89	9

Not in operation in fiscal 1964.
 Numbers used reflect estimated number of residential loans. The number of families or individuals per

Numbers used reflect estimated number of residential loans. The number of families or individuals per loan is unknown.
 Finances reflect rehabilitation loans only. Grant amounts are title I projects costs and are therefore reflected in the urban renewal projects table.
 Borrowers and recipients of grants may supplement the amounts provided by the Government but the amount of such supplements is unknown.
 Employees for loans only. Employees for grant programs are included in figures provided for urban renewal projects.
 No estimates available.

## 5. Estimated magnitude of program in 1970

Experience under the rehabilitation loan and grant programs is still too limited to justify any valid estimates of performance several years into the future. There has been a continuing increase in the extent to which rehabilitation is being used by localities in their overall urban renewal efforts and we can expect this increase to continue.

## 6. Prospective changes in program orientation

(a) Pending legislative proposals.—None.

(b) Proposed administrative and organizational changes.—The Urban Renewal Administration has been incorporated as a part of the new Department of Housing and Urban Development. Aside from this, there are no announced administrative or organizational changes

(c) Probable changes in the conditions under which the program will function in 1970; e.g., technological, economic, or social.—At this time there are no estimates of possible impacts of changing technological, economic, or social conditions on the operations of the rehabilitation loan and grant programs.

## 7. Coordination and cooperation

(a) Within your bureau, division, or office.—Coordination achieved

through normal administrative structure.

(b) With other units of your department or agency.—See question 3 for discussion of coordination with the Federal Housing Administration and the Federal National Mortgage Association.

(c) With other Federal Government departments or agencies.—See question 3 for discussion of coordination with the Small Business

Administration.

(e) With local governments or communities.—The rehabilitation loan and grant programs are carried out through local public agencies and