

We believe that what is proposed under title B can just as well be carried out under the provisions of the statute as it is at the present time.

If the U.S. Office of Education feels, as they annually review our budgets and projections for activities for the coming year, that educational planning is not being developed as it should be on a long-range basis, they have the opportunity each year, annually, as they review our programs, review our budgets and our projections of activity, the opportunity to give us more insight into what they feel should be done in this regard.

Basically, as we projected this 5-year project, speaking of the State of Iowa, we had something like \$296,000 of Federal funds involved in this. Again, if we were to carry out the total concepts actually needed to improve the statewide system, our projection was somewhere in the neighborhood of \$600,000.

Basically, I think I am also urging the improvement of the funding, and, as the chairman indicated, you are well aware of this problem. It is very serious as you provide and attempt to keep and attract professional people. If the authorization is taken up next year, this means in all fairness we have to advise the professional people that we are not sure what our position will be after June 30, 1968.

All of these people have families and obligations and naturally are going to do the same as most people would under these circumstances. We believe that if the appropriations were developed as the authorization indicates for title V, that we could carry out the major purposes. I am sure that all the States would work to this end if they had the kind of funds that are indicated in the authorization.

Mr. Chairman, I will close, because you have heard many of the items covered, the amendments. I think it would be better if we had use of the time to have discussion.

Chairman PERKINS. Let me thank all of you for coming here and making very constructive statements, which I am sure will be most helpful to the members of the committee.

I notice that some of the members have come in since I first convened the committee with an announcement.

We will proceed around the committee members under the 5-minute rule. The second time around, however, there will be no limitation of time.

I know that some members may want to probe deeply and cannot do it in 5 or 10 minutes. For that reason, on the second time around no one will be limited. To start out with, however, there will be a limitation.

Mr. Goodell.

Mr. GOODELL. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to express my appreciation to the witnesses this morning.

With reference to the recognition of the State of Florida, I am sure Mr. Page is aware that we have a distinguished Representative present from the State of Illinois, Mr. Erlenborn.

Mr. PAGE. Thank you.

Mr. GOODELL. I would like to first ask you about title III. Your experience thus far under title III is that the applications are referred to the State agency for comment: is that correct?