Mr. PAGE. That is right.

Mr. GOODELL. This is reflected in your urging of more financing through general grants rather than categorical grants. I wonder if you don't find yourself contradictory here in your recommendation, for instance, on title V, where you have urged earmarking of funds for metropolitan problems.

You are here arguing for a new categorical grant, in effect, in title V.

Mr. PAGE. I don't think we mentioned that today, did we, Congressman?

Mr. GOODELL. It is one of the recommendations listed at the end of Mr. Fuller's testimony, which apparently was approved by your organization.

Mr. FULLER. Is that one of the six points?

Mr. GOODELL. No.

Mr. FULLER. That was promoted primarily by the American Association of School Administrators, and it is intended that those funds for planning would be in the States. Is that the one you are talking about?

Mr. GOODELL. With unanimous consent, I will ask a further question on what I am referring to. It is on page 2 of your recommended legislation, No. 7, where you recommend that substantial earmarked funds be provided in title V of the Elementary and Secondary Act of 1965, to State educational agencies for comprehensive planning, the provision of quality education in metropolitan areas, including cities of 100,000 or more.

Mr. FULLER. Yes, I believe that in accord with what the council approved.

Mr. GOODELL. I am interested in your coming to ask for approval of general grants and among your recommendations is an earmarked categorical grant.

Chairman PERKINS. Mr. Daniels.

Mr. DANIELS. Mr. Chairman, I would like to compliment the members of the panel for bringing their views and recommendations to us this morning.

I note particularly you make two specific recommendations. I think all the members of the panel agree with them. One is that the act should be extended for a period of at least 2 years, to 1970, in order that the State school boards and local boards of education may do the proper planning, and, secondly, that State agencies should be consulted in the administration of the act.

I think these views are well taken, and I wholeheartedly agree with you.

My colleague, Mr. Brademas, I know has a long series of questions. He has given more time and attention to this study of the act than I have. With the consent of the chairman, I will yield the balance of my time to the gentleman.

Chairman PERKINS. Is there objection to the gentleman yielding the balance of his time to Mr. Brademas?

The Chair hears none.

Mr. BRADEMAS. I thank my colleague from New Jersey.

Because time is short, we will get right down to business. On page 7, Dr. Fuller, of your statement, you make reference to a resolution