

department and recommendations this year will also urge that the State departments forward studies and do forward planning.

One of the most interesting projects funded under title V is an aid State project in the West by Colorado. They call it Project 1980 which has made an extensive study of what the region will be like in 13 years, and how they might respond and plan the change that will be necessary within those State education agencies, and the educational establishment throughout those States to be responsive to the future needs.

I presume the same type of information will be compiled in other States.

Mr. MEEDS. I have some statistics here somewhere with regard to the amount of money being utilized by the State departments.

Mr. CHRISTIAN. They are in Mr. Fuller's statement, aren't they, Ed?

Mr. FULLER. Yes, there is on title V but there are other allowances in title I and title II.

Mr. MEEDS. Certainly this is understandable and I like to have your comments on it because this is right in your domain. My recollection of the figures showed that approximately 25 percent of the funds and about 27 percent of the positions created were from funds under title V in the first year of the operation of title V and thereafter about 18 percent and some 20 percent of additions in the second year.

I guess the submission of applications showed a further decline in the request of State educational agencies for, one, funds under title I and, two, positions under title I for planning.

Am I correct on that?

Mr. GORDON. Under title I?

Mr. MEEDS. Under title V.

Mr. GORDON. I believe that is true. Essentially the first year, and these gentlemen would know that better than I, we spent recruiting personnel to be able to carry on the added responsibilities that were given to State departments by the other titles if no other and the additional expenditures in other areas.

Mr. FULLER. I think I can give you those statistics. This was in Commissioner Howe's testimony last Tuesday, found on page 18 and a little bit before that I guess. It says that in writing title V that Congress suggested 10 areas in which State agencies might be strengthened.

Of course, the first four of those 10 areas in section 503(a) (1), (2), (3), and (4), I mentioned in my testimony this morning. Those do take in the whole range of planning and evaluation and certainly do allow a State to make a project to include anything at all that is included in proposed part (b).

These figures were based on a first-year appropriation of \$17 million. The States applied for funds to cover some 1,800 new positions. Incidentally they were able to employ only about a thousand of them as it appears later in the testimony.

They said 25 percent of the funds and 27 percent of the personnel were expected to work in the planning and evaluation areas. The States recognized the need and took steps to meet it.

However, by the end of the fiscal year the States had amended their applications to reduce the planning function in 19 percent of the