

We have got the big city superintendents coming in here tomorrow, we had better have a better answer than that when they come before us.

Mr. QUIE. Could you give us the amount? Are those the only copies you have there? Could you give us the amount that you expect New York is going to receive for fiscal year 1968, and the total amount that she will receive or did receive in fiscal year 1967?

Mr. ESTES. Yes; New York in 1966 received an entitlement of \$113,501,000. New York actually used of that total, according to our preliminary figures, \$112,567,000. Now in 1967 New York received an authorization, a total of \$116,210,000.

Mr. QUIE. Is this entitlement?

Mr. ESTES. Yes; this is the entitlement, for New York. New York's allocation for fiscal year 1967 was \$114,811,000. Now for 1968, New York's entitlement will be—the entitlement using the new formula, of course, will be \$216,532,000. Actually, according to our figures that we have now, New York will be allocated—this is an estimate—\$115,150,000.

Mr. QUIE. In order to take one that is near the national average and then one that is in the bottom, how about taking Minnesota and Kentucky and give us a comparison? Minnesota is close to the national average.

Mr. ESTES. All right. Minnesota in 1966 received an entitlement of \$25,388,000, and actually expended—this is a bit different than the allocation—actually expended \$18,198,000. You were mentioning this a moment ago.

Mr. QUIE. Correct.

Mr. ESTES. In 1967 Minnesota was actually allocated or entitled to \$19,651,000. Pardon me, that is the allotment of the allocation, the authorization—do you have that?

Mr. QUIE. That is the—

Mr. ESTES. That is the allocation.

Mr. QUIE. The allocation. What is the entitlement?

Mr. ESTES. The entitlement for Minnesota was \$28,439,000. Now in 1968, Minnesota, using the new formula would be entitled to \$43,268,000. According to the new formula based on the estimates that we have next year, Minnesota would be allocated \$20,358,000.

Mr. QUIE. Now take Kentucky.

Mr. ESTES. Kentucky?

Mr. QUIE. Yes, use Kentucky, which is about second from the bottom.

Mr. ESTES. All right. Kentucky fiscal year 1966 received an entitlement of \$30,539,000. Kentucky actually expended \$27,378,000. In 1967 Kentucky received an authorization of \$32,250,000. Kentucky received an allotment in fiscal year 1967 of \$27,607,000.

Mr. QUIE. In the authorization you use, that really means entitlement.

Mr. ESTES. That is right, I use the word interchangeably. In 1968 Kentucky will receive an entitlement using the new formula of \$72,408,000. They will receive an allocation based on our rough estimates of \$34,068,000.

Mr. QUIE. In other words, New York will receive more than half of her entitlement. Minnesota will receive, which is down toward the middle, less than half of her entitlement, and Kentucky will receive also less than half; we are talking about the same percentage?

Mr. ESTES. Yes.