

far as full support is concerned. I am really unaware that there has been any real criticism although everyone is aware of this problem.

We have run nursery schools since World War II so we have a considerable amount of experience with the underage child in a program that we have operated.

Actually, our Headstart program has easily fitted into this kind of program, provided for a significant extension of what we were already doing. So that it was not a completely new operation so far as Philadelphia schools were concerned.

Mr. FORD. With respect to title II of this act, you have already been asked to give some thought to how much more money you could use under title I. The national library people feel that \$18 per child is the amount that should be spent. I have heard testimony this morning about Detroit. They are spending less than \$2 per child. Would you give some thought, based on the experience you now have had for 2 years on title II funds, to what we ought to be looking forward to as an ongoing need to bringing the school libraries up to what we were talking about in 1965.

Dr. BRIGGS. I think none of us would have too much difficulty in a program 200 or 300 percent greater than the present one. There is a problem relative to title II, and that is the heavy administrative cost to the local district.

Mr. FORD. I noticed in the formal testimony this morning one of you mentioned there should be funds for local administration. Last year this question came up. I thought we made the language clear that when we talk about 5 percent for State administration we didn't mean at the statehouse or in the State capitol. We meant within the State.

We spelled it out in the report. Are you telling us that the State is keeping the 5 percent?

Dr. BRIGGS. I am only speaking of Ohio. We calculated our cost the other day. The cost of administering the program in Cleveland in the different school districts, public, parochial, private schools, exceeded \$100,000.

In other words, this is operational money that we had to take out of the other programs in Cleveland to operate title II.

Mr. FORD. What would that represent as a percentage of your total title II money?

Dr. BRIGGS. I can't answer that question. It would be pretty high because we do not get much title II money. By the time we got through administering the program for everyone—

Mr. FORD. Is the State taking all the allowable withholding for administration of title II and keeping it at the State level for administration?

Dr. BRIGGS. They are using it all to the extent that at least in Ohio, to the best of my knowledge, there is none available for the administration of the program at the local level.

Mr. FORD. How about California?

Dr. DAILARD. So far as I know, if they are getting it they must be keeping it. It is not coming down to us.

Mr. FORD. We spelled out in the bill a limitation as to the amount of money that could be used for administration. Five percent, Mr. Chairman?