

and quality of the manpower needs are to be met. Curriculum changes and updating are needed. Expensive equipment in electricity and electronics should be made available for training purposes. Then we have a real problem in additional training and in-service sessions for instructors of vocational programs. Then, when we look at your proposal on expanded educational opportunities for handicapped children we say that educational opportunities for handicapped children in our school district have been provided since 1911.

Proposals contained in H.R. 6230 to strengthen title VI by adding regional resource centers would provide testing and evaluation services to determine the special education needs of handicapped children and to develop educational programs to meet these needs.

I am also submitting—and I shall not read it—as an exhibit, just a report on a diagnostic center which we have established under title III funds. That is, we and Mr. Vanhooose in Jefferson County and the superintendents in Fullerton and Oldham Counties, in which we have established a center for diagnostic purposes for atypical children. It just started this year. We foresee real promise for it. We think that the results to date are exceedingly fine. This type of thing, this type of program which is proposed here for handicapped children we feel could be exceptionally useful to us and to all the school people throughout the country.

Now if I might go back to the question which the Honorable Mr. Ford asked a moment ago about title II. To my knowledge, we do not receive any money for the administration of the title II program. Now if we have a quarrel with our State department of education, then we will look at that when we get home. But we do receive some money, to my knowledge, for processing books and those things. But for the administration of the program as it pertains to our friends in the parochial school, I don't think we are getting any administrative money for that. I think that is out of our own local budget.

Mr. FORD. Mr. Chairman.

Chairman PERKINS. Go ahead, Mr. Ford.

Mr. FORD. I would like to call your attention to the House Report No. 1814 of the 89th Congress on H.R. 13161 which became the 1966 Amendments to the Elementary and Secondary Educational Act. On page 18 we say under title of "Administration:"

In the original Act we authorized not to exceed 5 per cent of the amount paid to the state under Title II be used in administering the state plan. The percentage was to be reduced to 3 per cent in subsequent years of the program.

That was the administration recommendation last year, that we go back to 3 percent. This committee—and I will paraphrase the balance of it because we used a whole page to explain exactly what we had in mind—determined to leave the amount at 5 percent and not reduce it to 3 percent as was suggested. Then we said:

Accordingly amendments have been adopted to require that state plans for carrying out the provisions of this title will provide for making available appropriate portions of the 5 per cent administrative funds to the local public agencies actually distributing and exercising control and supervision over the use of the books and materials furnished.

I thought that they are supposed to share that pay with you.

Mr. NOE. My colleague here from Kentucky, Mr. Ford, has another opinion about this.