

Economic Opportunity that you should close them down just to transfer them over to another agency.

Eventually we should get everything in the right organization but let us work for the benefit of the boys and girls.

Mr. MEEDS. One other area, Dr. Lumley and then I will stop. I notice in your testimony that you favor the purposes of subtitle B of title V but strongly urge that this function be placed in the State education agency. Would you care to expand on that, the reasoning behind that? Unless someone else has covered this in my absence I think the record should show exactly why you feel this way.

Mr. LUMLEY. We believe there should be planning and evaluation and basically this is sound. It is going to be necessary if we come back to the Congress for the State of Washington to say to you, "Well, here is what has happened in the State of Washington." But we do not believe it is necessary to create a new agency to do it, to set up a whole new operation.

Mr. MEEDS. As a matter of fact, Dr. Lumley, don't you think it would be a little inimical in many situations if the planning feature, the particular comprehensive planning feature, of education were to be done by an agency other than the one that is to execute the plan when it is made?

Mr. LUMLEY. I think I was a little gentle but we feel very seriously about this because there should be the planning done by the educational agency.

Mr. MEEDS. We have had testimony before this committee and there is a little problem in this because then in some States, as you can well realize, the Governors want this as it has been set up under the bill incidentally so that they can have the power to appoint that agency, and somebody testified here that they thought there would be more continuity if the Governor were to do it.

Without saying too much about my impressions of this I will just say that I feel it is exactly the opposite. How do you feel?

Mr. LUMLEY. I would concur.

Mr. MEEDS. You are an excellent witness.

Mrs. GEREAU. You are an excellent questioner.

Mr. LUMLEY. You are asking the right questions.

Mr. MEEDS. As I understand, you have no objection that if this were carried through the State education agency and the total planning function were carried out that that State agency would then have the right to contract with private people.

Mr. LUMLEY. That is right. That should be. They should have that right. They have had that right under present law. Our objection, as you have found here on the expansion of this contracting of private industry, was one that we brought to the attention of the committee last year because we do not believe that this should be done at the Federal level.

Mr. MEEDS. The bills as presently written would allow a State government to contract with the Battelle Institution to draw up a comprehensive plan for education in the State of Washington.

Mr. LUMLEY. That is right.

Mrs. GEREAU. It would do further. It would take 75 percent of the money to give to the Governor and let the Governor do it and the other