

Minnesota with regard to the local authority of the designation of who shall be employed.

I think this would have disastrous and ruinous effects if the Federal Government and any of its agencies could in fact place them, place people in local school districts without their approval.

Chairman PERKINS. I am in accord with your remarks on that point. I noticed the approach of the other great educator on that point but we never could have enacted the legislation originally and we have broadened it more so now, if we undertook to strip the local boards of education of authority.

I think there then would have been some grounds for Federal interference. I think you have gotten over that bridge so that there is not any Federal interference.

Since you gentlemen come from the great State of Minnesota, I am going to ask Dr. Byrne, since you did not agree with one of his statements that he agrees with one of your statements. All of us in this great democracy have a right to agree and disagree and that is what a committee is for to resolve some of those differences.

On page 2 near the bottom of the page, Dr. Mattheis states that he cannot support the retention by the U.S. Commissioner of Education of authority to provide members of the Teacher Corps with such training as the Commissioner may deem appropriate.

Do you feel that we infringe upon the rights of the State by letting or permitting the Commissioner of Education to contract for training, Doctor?

Dr. BYRNE. No; I do not see this point but I do see the point that Mr. Mattheis makes and that is the formula for teacher education I think is the basic right of the State. I think that a Corps member must be prepared to respect this and he must follow whatever the procedure is coursewise, experiencewise for education within a given State.

Chairman PERKINS. Do you feel we have now infringed upon that right anywhere here because a teacher cannot go into a local school district unless the local education board under the State department employs that teacher and gives that teacher a contract and they would have to abide by the State laws? Do you see any infringement there?

Dr. BYRNE. No; I don't see the infringement there. As you say they must abide by State law and State regulations. I would add a point at this time if I might, Mr. Perkins, and that is one other problem that arose with the Corps and it is a problem that I do not think has been straightened out yet is a fact that a person may receive his summer experience in one community and then be assigned to another community totally different for his year or 2 years of experience.

This is what bothers me, I think, in part that a person for example might be trained let us say in Minnesota at one of our institutions where he has perhaps certain advantages but certain disadvantages in terms of the clients that he might get to know, the experience he might have, and then at any rate he has prepared himself for, say, our Minneapolis schools and then at the end of the summer he is assigned to another district foreign to his experience and the like.

It seems to me the program would be strengthened if the local communities certainly within the State would take people who had been trained within the State.