

Mr. JOHNSON. You have heard the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania. Is there objection?

(No response.)

Mr. JOHNSON. If not, I wonder, Mr. Secretary, if you would have your people bring in your figures and studies and, at the same time, the staff's figures and study will be made available to you so that you can have a double study made and your figures go into the record?

Secretary UDALL. I think the committee is certainly entitled to have the clearest picture it can get. I want to say we have, all of us, the very highest regard for Mr. McFarland and his own competence and integrity. I think this is the way to get at the differences, whether they are differences of assumptions or differences of fact.

Mr. JOHNSON. It is understood that yours will be prepared and brought up to the committee so the committee can make a study of yours and at the same time you take his and make a study of the staff's study?

Secretary UDALL. Yes.

Mr. ASPINALL. As I understand it, the Department cannot have this staff study until they bring their studies up to us. Then we will compare the studies.

Mr. DOMINY. I might say, Mr. Chairman, between the years 1930 and 1967, using the flows that we are recommending be used for future projections, I do not think there is any disagreement. I am sure our studies also will show very little opportunity for spill during that particular period of years.

The important thing is the basis used to project the future—what period of time and what average flow should be used, and should we project a succession of wet years such as we had in the early part of the 1906-67 period.

Mr. HOSMER. The difficulty seems to be that you are on the wet cycle and Mr. Saylor is on a Honda.

Mr. JOHNSON. I want to clear this up just a little bit in my own mind. I understood this morning when the figures were given to us by Mr. Riter that it was in this period of time these spills would have occurred or have occurred.

Mr. DOMINY. We were talking about what would have happened during the payout period if the 1906-67 cycle of the Colorado River repeats itself.

Mr. JOHNSON. We will exchange the studies, then. Your studies will be made available to the staff, the staff will make their studies available to you, and we will get together and see if we can resolve any differences.

Mr. DOMINY. All right, sir.

Mr. JOHNSON. If that is agreeable to the committee that is the way this matter will stand.

Proceed, Mr. Saylor.

Mr. SAYLOR. Mr. Secretary, do you know Frank C. Di Luzio?

Secretary UDALL. Yes; I know him well.

Mr. SAYLOR. For the record, will you tell us who he is?

Secretary UDALL. Until January 1 or thereabouts he was my Assistant Secretary supervising water pollution control and the saline water program. Prior to that he was Director of the Office of Saline Water.