There are certain provisions in this bill with respect to transmission lines, power. It occurred to me that almost every time we have had one of these bills, there has been some hassling about transmission line problems and I suppose in this case, the same bears true, does it not?

Secretary Udall. I do not see any serious transmission line problems. Our only problem presented by this bill is that we are going to have to get a substantial quantity of power from the Page plant to the place

where we have to use it for pumping.

Now, we already have a transmission net. It is beginning to be merged together more and more, which it should be. I think we will just let the engineers decide this. This is the way we are making the decisions on powerlines, what the best way to do this is.

Mr. Hosmer. And I suppose that the Secretary would have no objection if approximately the same principle and procedures that were included in other priority authorizations of this type with respect

to transmission lines would be included——

Secretary Udall. With regard to the Upper Colorado project as an example, I would not think so. We work so well together now that we have the WEST organization, I think I can say to you I do not see any problems. If you want to put the Upper Colorado formula in, I think that is fine.

Mr. Hosmer. Back to the Indians, you submitted a figure of 905,496 acre-feet of present perfected rights of the Indians in the lower basin. Mr. Aspinall subsequently obtained unanimous consent to put in an estimation that had it only about half as big—546,544 acre-feet.

I wonder if your figure includes the diversion——

Mr. Dominy. I think so. The first figure is the diversion and the later is the consumptive use.

Mr. Hosmer. Thank you.

Now, those diversions were calculated in your formula using the Blaney-Criddle method of converting those, were they not?

Mr. Dominy. That is correct.

Mr. Hosmer. I wonder if it would be possible for the Bureau to furnish their tabulations for diversion and return flow, measured and unmeasured, and consumptive use for each of the projects in the Lower Colorado River Basin for the past 10 years?

Mr. Dominy. Yes, if the committee wishes that information, I am

sure we can work it up.

Mr. Hosmer. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that the Bureau be permitted to furnish that.

Mr. Johnson. You have heard the request of the gentleman from California.

Is there objection?

Hearing none, it is so ordered.

(The material referred to follows:)

The information requested is available in full only for the Colorado Indian Reservation in Arizona. Information on measured diversions only is available for the Cocopah and Yuma Indian Reservations. As no lands are irrigated on the Ft. Mohave or Chemehuevi Indian Reservation nor on the Colorado River Indian Reservation in California, the requested information is not pertinent.

For the Colorado River Indian Reservation in Arizona, the following are records of diversion, measured return flows, irrigated area, estimated consumptive use and estimated unmeasured return flows. It will be observed that in this 10 year period the average annual diversion per acre is nearly twice that granted by the Supreme Court in *Arizona* v. *California*. This over diversion of water results in a very large measured return flow.