

years to pump more water than in others to average out at 1.2 million acre-feet per year.

Mr. DOMINY. That is correct. You have a project with ground water capable of variable use. When you get good years, you would curtail pumping. In dry years, you would increase pumping.

Mr. HOSMER. If you did not have that problem, you could size it at 1,800 cubic feet per second with a steady flow every year?

Mr. DOMINY. Yes; if we had certain water assured at all times we could design a smaller canal and still get the same amount of water.

Mr. HOSMER. But you size it at 2,500 so it takes into account fully all annual variations in your chart and projections.

Mr. DOMINY. I cannot say fully, because we could even justify an aqueduct larger than 2,500 cubic feet per second under certain assumptions. But 2,500 cubic feet per second does a lot better in capturing water for the project than would an 1,800-cubic feet per second aqueduct.

Mr. HOSMER. That size is calculated to provide an average of 1.2 million acre-feet—

Mr. DOMINY. This is essentially so.

Mr. HOSMER. To the CAP project, which is the CAP's project requirement.

Mr. DOMINY. This is correct.

Mr. HOSMER. So that is a correct figure for the CAP?

Mr. DOMINY. I think it is an adequate figure; yes, sir.

Mr. HOSMER. Now, on the matter that you mentioned 2 days ago, Mr. Secretary, relative to the basin fund on page 10 again of your testimony—pages 8 and 9—there is a figure of a total of \$38 million annual contribution. Would you explain just what that figure is?

Mr. DOMINY. Yes, that is the Hoover-Parker-Davis power revenues after payout, \$14.5 million, and revenues from the Arizona-Nevada portion of the Pacific Northwest-Southwest intertie after payout, which would be \$5,200,000. The central Arizona project revenues after payout, assuming a municipal-industrial water rate of \$56 an acre-foot would put \$18,300,000 into the account, for a total of \$38 million.

Mr. HOSMER. That is the amount that you calculate as sufficient to insure the financial stability of the project?

Mr. DOMINY. Well, as the Secretary testified—

Mr. HOSMER. I mean—not to Arizona, the \$18.3 million.

Mr. DOMINY. As the Secretary testified, the central Arizona project with a \$56 municipal-industrial rate does not need assistance from the basin account in and of itself.

Mr. HOSMER. And that would leave the basin account revenues then applicable to augmentation if this or subsequent legislation so provides?

Mr. DOMINY. Yes, it could be so.

Mr. HOSMER. These revenues without these special provisions in these laws, they would just go straight to the U.S. Treasury without earmarking?

Mr. DOMINY. Except for the Hoover revenues. We would have to have legislation to handle those after payout.

Mr. HOSMER. By legislation now, where do the Hoover revenues go?

Mr. WEINBERG. They go into a special fund to be available for water development throughout the Colorado River Basin. They do not go into the general fund, though.