

to have a great fresh water lake in that region, Lake Powell is the place to have it, because it has such an enormous shoreline, it has so many points of access. This is one of the things that was very clear to me in making the trip.

Mr. HOSMER. Thank you.

Mr. BURTON of Utah. Mr. Secretary, I would like to say for the record and for the benefit of my colleagues that I have been a pretty good soldier on this central Arizona. When we charged up the Hill when the Department recommended Marble Canyon, I was there in such diverse company as Barry Goldwater and Morris K. Udall. When they dropped Marble Canyon and decided to go for Hualapai, I was in the middle of the canyon, at 15°, with my colleague from Arizona invoking various whammies for rain.

Secretary UDALL. Trying to walk on the water.

Mr. BURTON of Utah. Yes. As a matter of fact, Mr. Secretary, your brother did try to walk on the water and he was unsuccessful. One of my colleagues from the committee suggested that next time he determine if he can walk on the water with "acre-feet."

I assure you that I am trying to learn my proper place in the ranks now that we march toward steam generation. It is nice to be relieved of the withering volleys that are fired from John Saylor and Dave Brower in trying to build a dam.

Now, I sat up Monday and drew up pages and pages of questions that I had intended to ask you, Mr. Secretary; but after you sit through 4 days of hearings and have to follow Wayne Aspinall and John Saylor and Craig Hosmer, there is not a heck of a lot more to be asked.

So, Mr. Chairman, I want to wish the Secretary a happy birthday, last Wednesday, and reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JOHNSON. The gentleman from Nevada?

Mr. BARING. No questions.

Mr. JOHNSON. The gentleman from Washington, Mr. Foley.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Secretary, as my friend from Utah has pointed out, there have been a good many changes over the months and years in which this subject has been discussed. I believe you said that recently, the decisions regarding the dams in the Colorado represented an application of commonsense. I would like to discuss another question that I think involves an element of commonsense, and that is the question of augmentation of the Colorado River.

Would you please relate again the requirements in terms of acre-feet which must be augmented to the Colorado River if the effect of the central Arizona development is to be restored from the standpoint of water?

Secretary UDALL. We are talking about a range of a two to two and a half million acre-feet as the amount of augmentation water that would make the river whole, as it were. We have the Mexican Treaty burden, which was added in 1944, and which is a paramount responsibility of the river and of the whole basin. Based on the present hydrologic record, the river ultimately will be short in the neighborhood of something like 2 million acre-feet if the lower basin States are to receive 7.5 million acre-feet annually for consumptive use.

Mr. FOLEY. In terms of the central Arizona project only?

Secretary UDALL. In terms of the total.