

Secretary UDALL. The answer to that question is "Yes," also.

Mr. JOHNSON. Now, as it relates to the revenues development fund. In the first question, do you know what percentage of Hoover-Parker-Davis revenues are contributed by California and Nevada power users?

Mr. DOMINY. Arizona has about 23 percent total. For Hoover, Arizona, and Nevada, each have 17.6 percent.

Mr. JOHNSON. That would leave California, then, contributing about 65 percent—64.8.

Mr. DOMINY. 64.8 percent of Hoover revenues; yes.

Mr. JOHNSON. The next question, the bills H.R. 14834 and 14835 introduced by California Congressmen last week, which are not part of this hearing, I might say, because the hearing was limited to the questions asked by the chairman. That was based upon the legislation that had been introduced prior to the introduction of these bills, which, if enacted, would authorize the central Arizona project, provide that any surplus revenues contributed by the California and Nevada power users after payout of Hoover-Parker-Davis projects should be reserved for repayment of any future lower basin augmentation project, while all of the money contributed by Arizona power users would be available to subsidize the central Arizona project. Thus, Arizona would contribute nothing to the augmentation fund for 50 years. California has also agreed to defer Hualapai Dam and severely modify the scope of any augmentation project.

Do you consider these items as significant concessions by California in order to help its neighbor, Arizona, to obtain the central Arizona project?

Secretary UDALL. Congressman, I sat with this committee for 6 years and I have been down in the bear pit for 7. I want to say to the chairman of the subcommittee, the chairman of the full committee, and the ranking minority member, I think that the 3 days we have spent here constitute one of the finest, most constructive hearings I have ever participated in. I think we are here really studying, concentrating on the whole future of a whole region—not just one State or two or three States. I believe the whole attitude that has been expressed by everyone—the tenor of the questions, the discussion—has contributed to some of the best hours for the committee that I have seen in 13 years.

I do not know that I can answer your question with great specificity, Congressman, but I think California has of late shown some inclination to be in a compromising frame of mind. I think this is a good thing.

Mr. UDALL. Will the gentleman yield to me?

Mr. JOHNSON. Yes.

Mr. UDALL. I would answer his question largely in the affirmative. I think there have been very considerable concessions on the part of California and I give credit to the chairman of the subcommittee for helping us get together. I think the things that now divide us are small, the things that unite us are very big.

Mr. JOHNSON. I appreciate the comments of both the Secretary and yourself on this matter.

I have another question in the same field. Do you know how much the revenues contributed to the Hoover-Parker-Davis projects by Arizona power users would amount to during the central Arizona project payout period if the present percentages are contributed and the projected revenues are estimated by the Bureau of Reclamation?