ATTACHMENT E Examples of increased price competition

Item	Noncom- petitive unit price	Competi- tive unit price	Percent reduction	Total savings
Bomb fuze, M905, tail assembly. Power supply, PP-2058/ULA-2(V) Indicator pulse analyzer, IP-471/ULA-2(V) Shroud, steering control module SP GAX-5766. AN/APN-153(V) doppler navigation radar Extendible carth anchor, Harvey P/N44-56411 Oxytetracycline tablets. Voltage regulator, CN-514()/GRC Case assembly, XM 188. Helicopter, 40 mm. grenade launcher, M5. Transistor test set, TS-1836(U. Accessory outfit, gasoline field range Propellant loading, MK36, MOD 5. TALOS guidance control and airframe. Wing tank release, F-104. Attitude indicator. ASROC launcher.	1, 239 4, 113 750 2, 924 75 5 2, 273 2, 392 18, 827 123 799 138, 091	\$12 834 3, 072 538 1, 567 47 4 1, 205 1, 622 12, 518 240 98 510 99, 679 9 99, 679	20 32 25 28 46 37 20 46 32 20 36 38 28 86 31	\$168, 780 27, 118 88, 890 27, 560 4, 221, 135 231, 800 96, 530 331, 078 13, 090 1, 072, 545 109, 103 44, 577 177, 270 28, 534, 870 285, 591 206, 736 4, 853, 058

ATTACHMENT F

COST REDUCTION PROGRAM—AUDIT OPINION—YEAREND FISCAL YEAR 1966 COST REDUCTION STATUS REPORT

We have reviewed the yearend fiscal year 1966 cost reduction status report under the provision of DOD directive 5010.6, May 22, 1964, and DOD instruction 7720.6, January 20, 1964. Our review, which gave consideration to the cost reduction audits performed by the Defense audit organizations, included selective evaluation of pertinent documents, records, and data and other auditing procedures deemed appropriate in the circumstances. A detailed examination of all items was not performed.

Based on this review and subject to the comments contained in the body of the report and the footnotes to the report attachments, it is our opinion that, with the exception of man-years saved (attachment D) explained below, the savings reported conform to the criteria

of the governing directive and instruction.

The summary of manpower savings (attachment D) continues to include a substantial number of man-years as hard savings without corresponding reductions in authorized manpower spaces as required by change 8, dated June 10, 1966, DOD instruction 7720.6. As stated in the third quarter fiscal year 1966 audit opinion, we believe the discrepancies in manpower reporting will be corrected when the DOD components have completed implementation of change 8 which clarifies this requirement.

K. K. KILGORE, Deputy Comptroller for Audit Systems.