

In Apollo Applications I have presented the program which resulted from the study effort authorized by this Committee in fiscal year 1966. This careful planning was further supported by this Committee in FY 1967 when funds were authorized to keep the options open one more year. We are now asking you to exercise these options. The Apollo Applications funds you provided last year defined a follow-on effort to the Apollo program that resulted in an effective program to capitalize on the investment this country has made in space. This program has been reviewed and endorsed by the Bureau of the Budget, the President's Science Advisory Committee and the President. They recommend that we press onward in the investigation of man's role in space, the inter-relationship between man and machine in space exploration, scientific experimentation and operational systems. They recommend the Nation not be deprived of the ultimate benefits to mankind this capability offers.

In this presentation in support of our budget request for fiscal year 1968, we are asking that you approve the continuance of our efforts toward these national objectives.

I believe the example I have shown in the development of the Powercel as a potentially new household item to produce power, reduce pollution, and provide a better way of life for mankind ties in closely with not only the reasons for supporting manned space flight activity but also to the gist of the Fortune magazine article just quoted.

Mr. TEAGUE. For your information and the information of the committee, the hearings will start each morning at 10.

Thank you.

(Whereupon, at 12 p.m. the subcommittee adjourned until 10 a.m. on Wednesday, March 15, 1967.)