Mr. Titterton. I would say \$1.5 billion. I don't know, your numbers may include other things than what we are talking about. But

if it is directly to Grumman, \$1.5 billion should do it.

Chairman Teague. We have a cost of prior to fiscal year 1967, \$757 million. We have another figure for fiscal year 1967, the original estimate was \$350 million. Current fiscal year estimate, \$400 million. Fiscal year 1968, \$345 million. Then you have added \$345 million as part of the \$250 million you talked about.

Representative GIAIMO. That brings it up close to \$2 billion. Mr. TITTERTON. Well, NASA would have to go into that, because

they haven't told us numbers like this.

Representative Giaimo. But using your projected cost figures then, what is the total amount that you estimate it will cost?

Mr. Titteron. I would say \$1.5 billion.

Representative Giaimo. \$1.5 billion, not including your fee?

Mr. Titteron. No; including the fee.

Representative GIAIMO. Including the fee? Mr. TITTERTON. I can see about \$1.4 billion cost.

Representative GIAIMO. Yes?

Mr. TITTERTON. \$1.42 billion, something of that sort.

Chairman Teague. Wasn't the original estimate about \$2 billion? Mr. TITTERTON. No, sir.

Chairman Teague. The original contract?

Mr. TITTERTON. The original contract was \$1.290 billion cost.

Representative CABELL. Cost again?

Mr. TITTERTON. Cost. You see, your costs and our costs are different.

Representative Cabell. That's right.

Mr. Titterton. We don't know about changes and things like that in the company. There has got to be an allowance for changes. We don't know what allowance NASA has made for changes.

Chairman Teague. Maybe we are being told things that we shouldn't

be told.

Mr. Freitag. These are estimates of work. Chairman Teague. These are estimates; yes. Mr. Freitag. It has fee and it has other expenses.

Representative Cabell. You have your direct LM costs which then make up the total costs to LM. You see, that's where that discrepancy can easily well come into play

Representative Giaimo. Well, getting back to the question I think Mr. Teague asked you, are we going to wind up much over the estimate or did I hear approximately 5 percent.

Mr. TITTERTON. I said 5 to 10 percent.

Representative GIAIMO. Five to ten percent over?

Mr. TITTERTON. Would be our estimate at this point. Now, once again, I would like to restate that this is on a fairly successful schedule. If you run into all kinds of blockages downstream, then this might be something else again, which is, I suppose, what NASA's experience has been. This is why their viewpoint has to be different

Representative Waggonner. What he is hedging on—and "hedging" is a bad word—they haven't really flown these vehicles and they don't know.