INCENTIVE CONTRACTING OVERALL ASSESSMENT

Saturn/Apollo Incentive Contracts at MSFC Are Achieving Objectives:

- Contractors Have Responaed to Motivation.
- Cost Stature Shows Improvement.
- Schedule Being Maintained or Exceeded.
- Technical Integrity Maintained.
- Technical Performance Goals Being Attained.

FIGURE 51

APOLLO FUNDING

FIGURE 52

The engine program funding is decreasing quite rapidly although not as rapidly as the engine figures might indicate. This is because funding for engine production is carried in the launch vehicle account, both in fiscal year 1967 and 1968.

A breakout of the uprated Saturn I major stages (fig. 55) shows a drastic decline of almost 50 percent between fiscal year 1966 and fiscal year 1968. For example, vehicle ground support equipment is now approximately $6 million; it had been $26.5 million.

Saturn V funding for the same 3-year period (fig. 56) is holding somewhat steady.

Since fiscal year 1966, our costs, as Dr. von Braun indicated last night, have averaged $136.1 million per month (fig. 57). It is interesting to note however, that the peak amount was actually $145 million per month in fiscal year 1966, and since that time we have been declining, as indicated to an average of 116 in fiscal year 1967 and $102 million, the forecast for fiscal year 1968. These are sizable