Karl Sendler as Director Information Systems, and Mr. Robert Gorman as

Director Support Operations.

Functions formerly assigned to the Assistant Center Director for Engineering Development have been realined under the Director of Design Engineering. This organization, with minor exceptions, is responsible for the design, development, fabrication, installation, modification, and major refurbishment of all KSC provisioned equipment and facilities. Mr. G. Merritt Preston, former Deputy Director of Launch Operations, was named Director of Design Engineering in February. Mr. A. H. Bagnulo, Deputy Director of Design Engineering since its establishment, is entering private industry and has been replaced by Mr. Grady Williams. Prior to this assignment, Mr. Williams was Electrical/

Electronic Engineering Manager for the Directorate.

The Apollo Applications program has reached the point where it requires the exclusive attention of a small KSC group. Accordingly, the Center recommended to NASA Headquarters establishment of an Apollo Applications Program Management Office, patterned after the Apollo Program Management Office. The proposed organization includes the establishment of three new offices under the AAP Manager plus the joint use of three of the cristing Apollo offices. under the AAP Manager, plus the joint use of three of the existing Apollo offices, in which management functions are so closely related to the two programs that separation is impractical or could adversely affect the management of both programs. These latter functions are Systems Engineering, Reliability and Quality Assurance, and Operations Support. Following initial establishment, the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally over the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished in the phase-over from the Apollo Program Management Office will be accomplished in the phase-over from the Apoll tions program Management Office will be accomplished incrementally, over a period of several years, as the Apollo program reaches a successful conclusion.

The organization structure of the Center possesses the multiprogram capability

necessary to accommodate a Voyager Program office if directed.

## CONTRACTS ADMINISTERED BY OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

REQUEST II(b).-Number and cost of contracts administered by other Government agencies identified in \$0 to \$100,000 to \$500,000 and over \$500,000 contract value groupings

Agency	Num- ber	\$0 to \$100,000	Num- ber	\$100,000 to \$500,000	Num- ber	Over \$500,000	Num- ber	Total cost (value of contracts)
DCAA	21	\$841, 149	39	\$9, 576, 730	44	\$458, 317, 581	104 177	\$468, 735, 460 152, 401, 615
DCAS.	99	3, 993, 982	49	10, 860, 785	29	137, 546, 848	100	102, 401, 010
Corps of Engineers, Canaveral	152	5, 491, 000	74	18, 172, 000	72	370, 376, 000	298	394, 039, 000
Other, Corps of Engineers	4	213, 000	1	110,000	2	48, 660, 000	7	48, 983, 000
USAF-ETR	21	640, 000	17	3, 633, 000	22	52, 988, 000	60	57, 261, 000
Other, Air Force	4	178, 664	5	1, 196, 785	4	6, 761, 000	13	8, 136, 449
Army	5 5 2	7,000	3	382,000			8	389,000
Navy	5	190, 884	2	600,000			7	790, 884
Bureau of Standards.	2	24,000	1	165, 000			3	189, 000
Department of		60.000	l .			1	1	60, 000
Commerce	1 4	60,000			2	1, 562, 000	6	1, 632, 000
Bureau of Mines GSA	4	70,000	1	248,000		1,002,000	ĭ	248, 000
Total	318	11, 709, 679	192	44, 944, 300	175	1, 076, 211, 429	685	1, 132, 865, 408

Note.—"Administered" is defined as that delegation to other Government agencies to perform field services such as auditing, property administration, production surveillance, and quality assurance functions. Corps of Engineers contracts are administered entirely by that organization.

PERCENT OF OVERTIME ON INDIVIDUAL PROGRAMS (PROGRAMS OVER \$50,000)

REQUEST II(c).—Percent of overtime of total time on individual projects or programs over \$50,000

	Program area	Fiscal year 1966	1st 6 months of fiscal year 1967
I. ApolloII. Advanced missions		 11. 2 4. 7	7.8 1.0