

Mr. LEIGHTY. My thought is that if your committee would get both parties not together immediately but get one side before you in executive session and lay the law down to that side and then get the other side before you in executive session and lay the law down to that side—

Mr. FRIEDEL. And they bring them both in together?

Mr. LEIGHTY. No; don't bring them both in together to start with. Bring them in separately to start with. Then let them have a session or two among themselves. Then call them in jointly and see what the situation is.

Mr. BROWN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FRIEDEL. Thank you.

Mr. LEIGHTY. That is my opinion. I can't guarantee results. But based on my experience, that is what my answer is to your question.

Mr. BROWN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. SATTERFIELD. Yes; I will yield.

Mr. BROWN. Do I understand, Mr. Leighty, you suggest that we actually serve as arbitrators in this dispute?

Mr. LEIGHTY. He was asking how you could get them back together and I was answering the question. I wasn't making a suggestion.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Harvey.

Mr. HARVEY. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Leighty, the other night I was watching the news on television and they were showing excerpts of some of the testimony before the Senate committee on this same subject.

One of the labor leaders was testifying, and in fairness to you it was not yourself or a witness with you but the gist of his testimony was this: He said that labor was growing very tired of being victimized by the promanagement mediation boards.

I was quite shocked when I heard that because very frankly I had never thought of President Johnson as being antilabor or promanagement, or I hadn't thought in that same context of Willard Wirtz, Secretary of Labor, nor had I thought the long-time champion of labor in the other body, Senator Morse, was antilabor.

I wondered whether you agreed with the gist of this testimony that I heard, or how you would consider the Boards that have been appointed

Are they promanagement in your judgment?

Mr. LEIGHTY. Are you referring to the emergency boards that are appointed?

Mr. HARVEY. I am referring to the first mediation board, which was headed by Mr. O'Neill; the second one, Emergency Board 169, headed by Mr. Ginsburg; and the final one, headed by Judge Fahy.

Let us start at the end and go backward. That final Board was composed of Judge Fahy, recently retired judge of the U.S. Court of Appeals; John W. Taylor, professor of industry, University of Pennsylvania; and John T. Dunlop, professor of economics at Harvard University.

Would your judgment be that either all or any of these particular members were promanagement or that they were antilabor?

Mr. LEIGHTY. Yes.

Mr. HARVEY. Which one would they be?