Since the hypothetical shift in the composition of final demand was balanced so as to leave the overall level of employment for the country as a whole the same as it was before, its economic impact takes the form of shifts in the labor force among different industries and among

different regions.

The magnitudes of changes in output and employment that we are about to examine are—when expressed in relative terms—at most of the order of a few percentage points up or a few percentage points down; in most instances, they are even smaller. Considering, however, that an unemployment rate of 5.5 percent commonly is interpreted as a sign of serious malfunctioning of our economic system and that an eventual reduction of that figure to 4 percent has been recognized as one of the major goals of national economic policies, even a one-half of 1 percent change in employment level in one region or another must be taken to represent a noteworthy shift. The percentages to be examined may not meet that degree of accuracy, but they should indicate the direction of change in regional employment levels.

Table 1.—Percentage changes <sup>1</sup> in output and employment <sup>2</sup> by industries, after a compensated <sup>3</sup> 20 percent cut in armament expenditures <sup>4</sup>

Sector num- ber 5	Industry	Percent- age change	Sector num- ber <sup>§</sup>	Industry	Percent- age change
9N 6N 6N 7N N 4L	Aircraft. Ordnance Research and development Electronics equipment Nonferrous metals. Instruments Electrical apparatus. Other transportation equipment. Iron and steel. Nonelectrical machinery. Chemicals Maintenance construction Rubber, plastics Appliances, lighting Oil fields Petroleum products Transportation Paint Fabricated metals Miscellaneous fabricated textiles Plastics synthetics Glass Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper dontainers Miscellaneous textiles, rugs Government enterprises Coal mining	-15. 42 -13. 26 -5. 40 -2. 21 -1. 59 -0. 92 -0. 03 -0. 15 -0. 20 0. 30 0. 34 0. 38 0. 48 0. 48 0. 59 0. 54 0. 59 0. 83 0. 93 0. 93 0. 93	7N 17L	Wood containers. Stone and clay Printing, publishing Business services. Fabrics, yarn Office furniture. Drugs Motor vehicles. Miscellaneous manufacturing. Electricity, gas, water Lumber, wood products. Communications Household furniture. Medical, educational services. Forestry, fisheries. Trade. Finance, insurance. Auto repair services. Personal services Leather. Real estate, rentals Other agriculture. Amusements. Apparel. Food and kindred products. Livestock. Tobacco. Households § Agricultural services.	1. 0 1. 1 1. 1 1. 1 1. 1 1. 1 1. 1 1. 2 1. 2

2. Table 1 describes the impact of a postulated demilitarization of the final demand in terms of individual industries. The percentage figures show that of the 56 sectors listed, only 10 will experience a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Each figure represents the change in output and employment in each industry as a percentage of total output and employment in that industry before the arms cut.

<sup>2</sup> Employment and its regional distribution is measured in each industry by labor earnings.

<sup>3</sup> Compensation is assumed to consist of a uniform proportional increase in all components of nonmilitary final demand sufficiently large to maintain the aggregate employment in all sectors (consequently in all regions) taken together unchanged.

<sup>4</sup> Source of data: Appendix tables A-6 and A-7.

<sup>5</sup> Note that the local sectors which are dummy industries have been omitted from this ranking. N refers to National industry number, L to Local industry number.

<sup>6</sup> Note that this percentage reflects the 1.81 percent increase in all consumption coefficients. It represents the change in employment of employees in households such as domestic help or babysitters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Two local dummy sectors, 15L office supplies and 16L business travel and entertainment, are not included in this tabulation.