

Definition of weapons, vehicles, and other support procurement. The composition of the weapons, vehicles, and other support group is presented in table 34 in terms of budget activities within appropriation categories by service. The table also shows the percentage distribution of the fiscal year 1965 TOA group total by budget activity and by service.

It is evident from the table that the Army's procurement, at 69 percent of the group total, is dominant in this group; further, within the Army's procurement the two budget activities, weapons and combat vehicles and tactical and support vehicles, which together comprise 53 percent of the group total and 75 percent of the Army's proportion of the group total, are of major importance in determining the product composition of the group.

TABLE 34.—*Appropriations and budget activity classes included in weapons, vehicles, and other support procurement*

Department	Appropriation title	Budget activity	Percent
Army.....	Procurement of equipment and missiles, Army (PEMA).	5. Weapons and combat vehicles.....	69.23
		6. Tactical and support vehicles.....	22.76
		8. Other support equipment.....	29.87
		10. Production-base support.....	9.54
Navy and Marine Corps.	Other procurement, Navy (OPN).	3-1950 Other support equipment.....	7.06
		4. Civil engineering support.....	11.80
		5. Supply support equipment.....	2.09
	Procurement, Marine Corps (PMC).	6-1110 Command support equipment.....	3.52
		1.B Artillery.....	1.44
		4. Support vehicles.....	.39
Air Force.....	Other procurement.....	5. Engineer and other equipment.....	.75
		2. Vehicular equipment.....	2.59
		4. Other base maintenance and support equipment.....	1.02
		—Industrial facilities.....	18.97

Methodology. General methodology is described at the beginning of this section. The material annex procurement lists ^{9, 10, 12} for weapons, vehicles, and other support included such miscellaneous categories as spares and repair parts, survival equipment, and first destination transportation.

The miscellaneous categories were disaggregated into items that could be assigned SIC codes through the use of supporting data from the P-1 exhibits.^{31, 59} Other supporting data subsidiary to the P-1 exhibits were the Navy, fiscal year 1967 budget backup justification,³² which supplied information on OPN; the Air Force, fiscal year 1966-67 buy-budget, budget program 82, vehicular equipment,⁸¹ and the Air Force, fiscal year 1965-66 buy-budget, budget program 8500; other base maintenance and support equipment.⁸²

It was felt that, except for budget activity 10, production-base support, the Army procurement list provided sufficient detail to obviate further search for product detail because the sum of the Army miscellaneous and repair parts and support materiel TOA