

constituted 10 percent of the Army's and 7 percent of the three services' weapons, vehicles, and other support budget. Also, Army's first destination transportation costs, which were 2 percent of the Army's and 1 percent of the three services' TOA for this group, were identified but not SIC coded because of lack of necessary information.

The procurement lists provided no detail for the Army's budget activity 10, production-base support, nor for the Air Force's budget activity, industrial facilities. With respect to Army's budget activity 10, production-base support, a sample of the product composition was derived from supporting documents for the *President's Budget: Fiscal year 1965*.⁸³ In addition, relevant data from this source were used to distribute the relatively small amount of TOA in the Air Force's budget activity, industrial facilities.

As noted previously, the above sources were used to disaggregate budget activities to end products. Other classified and unclassified documents, not elsewhere listed, that contained descriptions of the items or items of a similar nature, aided in determining the appropriate product code to be assigned. They are listed under "Additional References."

REFERENCES

CITED REFERENCES

1. B. S. Beckler, D. J. Igo, I. Moder, E. M. Bull, K. R. Gramza, and S. B. Noble, "Methodology for Industry Impact Analysis (U)," RAC-TP-190, Research Analysis Corp., volume I, "Methodology and Summary Results," March 1966, unclassified; volume II, "Procurement Documentation (U)," July 1966. Secret.
2. *Report of the Committee on the Economic Impact of Defense and Disarmament*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., July 1965, p. 66.
3. W. W. Leontief, "The Structure of the US Economy," *Scientific American*, April 1965, p. 11.
4. M. R. Goldman, M. L. Marimont, and B. N. Vaccara, "The Interindustry Structure of the United States, a Report on the 1958 Input-Output Study," *Survey of Current Business*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., November 1964, p. 10.
5. Bureau of Census, *Census of Manufactures: 1963*, MC63-2, "Numerical List of Manufactured Products," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
6. J. Alterman, "Interindustry Employment Requirements," *Monthly Labor Review*, July 1965, p. 841.
7. Office of Emergency Planning "Current NREC Economic Model Development," February 17, 1966 (mimeographed).
8. *The Budget of the United States, 1966—Appendix*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1965.
9. Department of Navy, "Materiel Annex/Weapons Dictionary (U)," part 1, "Procurement List Fiscal Year 1964-70 (U)," January 8, 1965. Secret.
10. Department of the Army, "Fiscal Year 1965-1970 Force Structure and Financial Program, Materiel Annex," part 1, "Five-Year Procurement Program (U)," March 10, 1965. Confidential.
11. Department of the Air Force, "Materiel Annex, Procurement List," "Aircraft and Missile Procurement Appropriation (U)," January 8, 1965. Secret.
12. —, "Materiel Annex, Procurement List," "Other Procurement Appropriation (U)," January 8, 1965. Secret.
13. Department of the Air Force, "USAF Force and Financial Program," "Program Data and Cost Detail, Fiscal Year 1963-1970 (U)," January 8, 1965, p. B-2. Secret.
14. —, "Aircraft Cost Analysis Fiscal Year 1965—Exhibit P-5 (U) May 17, 1965. Confidential.
15. Department of the Navy, "Aircraft Cost Analysis Fiscal Year 1964—Exhibit P-5" May 17, 1965. Confidential.
16. Department of the Army, "Aircraft Cost Analysis Fiscal Year 1964—Exhibit P-5 (U)," May 7, 1965. Confidential.