

The Pacific region with 26 percent of the EIS-surveyed workers, but only 12.1 percent of the work force, far outranks the next highest regions, i.e., mid-Atlantic and New England with 14.7 and 14.1 percent, respectively. One unique characteristic of the Pacific region is its significant participation in each product group.

California, with 23 percent of the EIS-surveyed workers and 9.5 percent of the labor force, is the dominant State both in total EIS-surveyed workers and for several of the defense product groups. It far outranks Connecticut and New York which have 7.8 and 7.2 percent, respectively, of the total EIS-surveyed employment.

The largest product group, in terms of EIS-surveyed employment, is aircraft with 304,000 workers, or 36 percent, of the total of 851,000 workers. Employment in the aircraft program is rather evenly dispersed; it ranges between 10 and 16 percent in all regions except for mountain and east south central regions, which combined represent only 3.9 percent of the total.

Employment in the electronics and communications product group, which accounts for 27 percent of total EIS-surveyed employment, is concentrated in the middle Atlantic and Pacific regions; each of these two regions account for approximately 29 percent of the total employment in this product group.

Regional concentrations for the remaining six product groups, accounting for the residual 37 percent of EIS-surveyed employment, varies greatly. Ammunition is produced in all nine regions. However, two of these regions, east north central and west north central, account for over half of the EIS-surveyed employment on this product.

Table 7 delineates the State distribution of the 146,000 workers employed on defense subcontracts in plants surveyed by the EIS. It should be explicitly noted that, since the EIS survey was designed to include large defense prime contractors, the subcontracting dispersion shown in table 7 may be somewhat biased. For example, Kansas, a State in which the EIS sample encompassed a relatively high percent of total defense-oriented industries' employment, may rank relatively high while Illinois, where EIS coverage is relatively small, may rank relatively low because of an understatement of subcontracting.

TABLE 7.—EIS-surveyed employment generated by defense subcontracts by State of performance, June 1966

State ranking	Number (thou- sands)	Percent- age	State ranking	Number (thou- sands)	Percent- age
1. California.....	37.8	25.9	20. Missouri.....	1.5	1.0
2. New York.....	20.9	14.3	21. Iowa.....	1.1	.8
3. Massachusetts.....	9.4	6.4	22. West Virginia.....	.8	.6
4. New Jersey.....	9.0	6.2	23. Georgia.....	.7	.5
5. Connecticut.....	7.6	5.2	24. Colorado.....	.6	.4
6. Texas.....	6.7	4.6	25. Maine.....	.6	.4
7. Ohio.....	6.4	4.4	26. North Carolina.....	.5	.3
8. Florida.....	5.4	3.7	27. Louisiana.....	.5	.3
9. Kansas.....	4.8	3.3	28. Oklahoma.....	.4	.3
10. Indiana.....	4.7	3.2	29. Alabama.....	.4	.3
11. Pennsylvania.....	4.1	2.8	30. Mississippi.....	.4	.3
12. Tennessee.....	3.9	2.7	31. Vermont.....	.2	.1
13. Michigan.....	3.4	2.3	32. Washington.....	.2	.1
14. Maryland.....	2.9	2.0	33. Wyoming.....	.2	.1
15. Minnesota.....	2.7	1.9	34. Wisconsin.....	.2	.1
16. Arizona.....	2.3	1.6	35. Delaware.....	.0	.0
17. Utah.....	2.2	1.5	Other 15 States.....	.1	.1
18. Illinois.....	1.8	1.2			
19. Virginia.....	1.6	1.1	Total.....	146.0	100.0