NEED TO LOOK BENEATH DEFINITIONS

I am very serious. I am just shocked at this kind of thing. If the Defense Department thinks that as far as I am concerned they can play around with figures in this fashion and nomenclature, they have

got another think coming.

On the other hand, I should hold my temper a bit and give them an opportunity to reply to the reports that we have before us from the General Accounting Office. I know they have had these reports, and what is in them sufficiently ahead of time, so that they could have responded a lot better than they did yesterday.

But I think we must look beneath these definitions, when they say they have reduced them from 5 million to 3.9 million, I regret to say

I have to see their working papers now.

Mr. Marshall. Yes, sir.
Representative Curtis. Thank you very much.

Chairman Proxmire. Thank you, and I hesitate to stop now, but we are past the zero hour. At 12:15 we were supposed to be out of here because another group is coming in at 12:30.

I would just like to say before we conclude I have had a chance to look at Mr. Gainsbrugh's splendid statement, and I am most impressed by it. I am very disappointed I was not here when you delivered it, Mr. Gainsbrugh, and I understand you may have a suggestion that we might possibly through legislation provide for a commission to make this kind of a study, and I would be extremely interested, and I am sure Congressman Curtis would, too, and we would like to have you give us a memorandum on this so we can work on it.

The committee will reconvene Tuesday, May 16, in room S-407, the Capitol, to hear Lawson Knott of the GSA, and Director Charles

Schultze of the Bureau of the Budget.

(Thereupon, at 12:20 p.m., the subcommittee adjourned, to recon-

vene Tuesday, May 16, 1967, at 10 a.m.)