

Another case that was called to my attention was the case of a Miss Hanke and a Mrs. Sock, who when they came out of Hungary were told that their property was unblocked. When they came to get it they found "No, your property has now been vested."

Mrs. KELLY. I wonder, Mr. Reiter, whether the cases you are mentioning are relevant to the bill before us. Were not these matters dealt with in S. 2064 last year?

Mr. REITER. This is not 2064 I am speaking of.

Mrs. KELLY. Those cases you were referring to, are they not relevant to S. 2064 of the last Congress?

Mr. REITER. If I may respectfully suggest to you, Madam Chairman, these are not under S. 2064. They do fall under the same class of legislation, namely, what is to be done with these remaining balances and what is to be done with these loose ends under the International Claims Settlement Act.

Frankly, I believe that S. 2064 is relevant for another reason, because it covers another very worthy group of cases. These cases that I'm speaking of now were not covered by S. 2064.

Mrs. KELLY. Neither are they covered in H.R. 9063. You are asking us to enlarge the scope of the bill before us.

Mr. REITER. Frankly, in this respect I am suggesting an amendment to the pending legislation.

Mrs. KELLY. You said that you had one more point.

Mr. REITER. The other point is that since the Senate passed S. 2064 twice, being S. 2634 in the previous Congress, they prefer that the House consider that problem, and therefore I have suggested—

Mrs. KELLY. The suggestion that we incorporate S. 2064 in this bill was not recommended by the administration.

Mr. REITER. S. 2064 was recommended by both the State Department—

Mrs. KELLY. But not in the bill before us now.

Mr. REITER. No, it was a separate bill. It carried the recommendations of the State Department and Justice Department, and was passed twice by the Senate.

I figure the best way to handle it is to suggest that it be considered by this committee, rather than reintroduce it.

Mrs. KELLY. If you have any further testimony you would like to submit before we write up the report, we will be glad to accept it.

Will you take the chair at this point, Mr. Monagan? I can only stay a few more minutes to listen to Mr. Jacobs. Then I must attend another committee meeting for a brief period.

Mr. MONAGAN (presiding). The next witness is Mr. Harold M. Jacobs, of the Brooklyn Jewish Community Council.

Mr. Jacobs.

STATEMENT OF HAROLD M. JACOBS, BROOKLYN JEWISH COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Mr. JACOBS. Mr. Acting Chairman and Madam Chairman, first let me say I am very proud this afternoon to be here, and especially on an occasion when my own Congresswoman is chairing this wonderful and important hearing. I think it is a most important hearing.

I was asked to be here in the capacity of president of the Brooklyn Jewish Community Council. Our past president, Mr. Lindenbaum, at